

ARCHEOBOTANIKA

DOBY BRONZOVEJ
NA SLOVENSKU

MÁRIA HAJNALOVÁ

NITRA 2012

Práca bola podporená Agentúrou na podporu výskumu a vývoja na základe zmluvy č. APVV-0598-10 a riešená v rámci projektov VEGA 1/0477/11, VEGA 2/7111/20, VEGA 1/0530/08.



Táto kniha vyšla s finančným príspevkom Carl Zeiss Slovakia, s. r. o.

ARCHEOBOTANIKA DOBY BRONZOVEJ NA SLOVENSKU

Štúdie ku klíme, prírodnému prostrediu, poľnohospodárstvu a paleoekonómii

Mgr. Mária Hajnalová, PhD.

Vydavateľ:	Univerzita Konštantína Filozofa v Nitre, Filozofická fakulta
Recenzenti:	PhDr. Ladislav Veliačik, DrSc., Archeologický ústav SAV Nitra Prof. PhDr. Egon Wiedermann, CSc., Filozofická fakulta UKF Nitra
Jazykový redaktor:	PhDr. Martin Pukanec, PhD.
Preklad angl. resumé:	Dr. Peter Barta Dr. Rebecca M. Foot
Grafická úprava a sadzba:	Beáta Jančíková
Obálka:	Beáta Jančíková
Rok vydania:	2012

© Mária Hajnalová 2012

ISBN 978-80-8094-949-5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. BACKGROUND SETTING	3
2.1. Environment	3
2.1.1. Geomorphology	3
2.1.2. Climate and the natural environment	3
2.1.2.1. Climate of Slovakia today	4
2.1.2.2. Palaeoclimate reconstruction methods	4
2.1.2.3. Climate and Environment of Bronze Age Slovakia (proxy data)	4
2.1.2.4. Climate of Bronze Age Slovakia (Macrophysical Climate Model, MCM)	6
2.2. Bronze Age research in Slovakia: settlement and society reconstructed from archaeological sources	21
2.2.1. Chronology	21
2.2.2. Society of the Bronze Age in Slovakia	22
2.2.2.1. Cemeteries and graves	22
2.2.2.2. Hoards	23
2.2.2.3. Settlements and settlement patterns	24
2.2.2.4. Social changes detected in architecture and urbanism	28
2.2.2.5. Crop husbandry	29
3. METHODS	33
3.1. Data collection and sampling	33
3.2. Extraction of plant remains from sediments	34
3.3. Laboratory methods	35
3.3.1. Sorting	35
3.3.2. Identification	36
3.4. Quantification	44
3.4.1. Counting	44
3.4.2. Selection of samples for quantitative and statistical analyses	45
3.4.3. Data standardization	45
4. MATERIAL	47
Catalogue of sites with archaeobotanical, osteological and malacological finds dating	
to Bronze Age Slovakia	47
4.0. End of the Eneolithic and the beginning of the Bronze Age	47
4.1. Early Bronze Age	47
4.2. Early Bronze Age and the beginning of the Middle Bronze Age	51
4.3. Middle Bronze Age and the beginning of the Late Bronze Age	56
4.4. Middle and Late Bronze Age	57
4.5. Late Bronze Age	59
4.6. Late and Final Bronze Age	64
4.7. Final Bronze Age	67
4.8. Final Bronze Age and Hallstatt period	70
4.9. Bronze Age unspecified and Bronze Age to Hallstatt	71
5. PLANT MACROREMAINS – GENERAL RESULTS	73
5.1. Cultivated crops	73
5.1.1. Cereals	73

5.1.2. Pulses	81
5.1.3. Other crops	84
5.2. Wild plants (weeds)	87
5.3. Gathered fruits and other	88
5.4. Composition of samples	89
5.5. Monocrops and maslins	92
6. TAPHONOMIC ANALYSES	95
6.1. Method 1 – ratios of the main constituents in the samples	97
6.1.1. Method 1 application	98
6.1.2. Results of method 1	98
6.1.3. Summary of the application of method 1	100
6.2. Method 2 – percentages of the main constituents in the samples	100
6.2.1. Method 2 application	101
6.2.2. Results of method 2	101
6.2.3. Summary of the application of method 2	101
6.3. Method 3 – seed categories of weeds (based on the seeds' physical characteristics)	101
6.3.1. Method 3 application	103
6.3.2. Results of method 3	103
6.3.3. Summary of the application of method 3	104
6.4. Method 4 – ratios of weed seeds of different categories	104
6.4.1. Types of crop stores in archaeological samples	104
6.4.2. Method 4 characteristics	105
6.4.3. Method 4 application and results	106
6.4.4. Summary of the application of method 4	108
6.5. Conclusion	108
7. PALAEOECONOMY –	
(CROP) HUSBANDRY STRATEGIES OF THE BRONZE AGE IN SLOVAKIA	111
7.1. Introduction	111
7.2. Model A – ethnographic (Hillman 1984 and Jones 1984)	112
7.2.1. Description of Model A	112
7.2.2. Application of Model A	112
7.2.3. Results of Model A	113
7.3. Model B – palaeoeconomic (Fuller/Stevens 2009)	113
7.3.1. Description of Model B	113
7.3.2. Application of Model B	115
7.3.3. Results of Model B	116
7.4. Model C – (van der Veen/Jones 2006)	117
7.4.1. Description of Model C	117
7.4.2. Application of Model C	118
7.4.3. Results of Model C	118
8. WEED ECOLOGY	121
8.1. Multivariate statistical analyses of the samples	122
8.1.1. Multivariate statistical analyses (MVA)	122
8.1.2. Detrended correspondence analyses (DCA)	123
8.1.3. Cluster Analyses (CA)	128

8.1.4. Discriminant Analyses (DA)	131
8.1.5. Summary of the applied methods.....	134
8.2. Autecology of the weed species	134
8.2.1. Introduction	134
8.2.2. Climate factors	135
8.2.3. Soil factors	137
8.2.4. Edaphic factors	139
8.2.5. Anthropogenic factors	148
8.2.6. Summary of the weed ecology analyses	150
8.3. Conclusion	151
9. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	153
10. SUMMARY	157
BIBLIOGRAPHY	165
APPENDIX	179

10 SUMMARY

The Archaeobotany of Bronze Age Slovakia

This monograph is the first to deal with the use of plants and crops during one age in the territory of Slovakia, also presenting the most recent and modern results of research in a single book. In nine chapters it elucidates farming practices and crop husbandry of the Bronze Age (ca. 2200–750 cal BC)⁸⁴ and addresses the climate and natural vegetation of the period.

Over ten years ago, in their book on the Bronze Age Slovakia, Furmánek, Veliačik and Vladár (1999, 130) wrote that the information on cultivated crops and gathered fruits during the Bronze Age in Slovakia is very patchy; information on arable practices, harvesting techniques, further manipulation of crops and productivity is poor or negligible; and that it remains unknown how communities coped with short climate events, like draught periods, or major climate changes, and what is the ratio of animal to crop husbandry.

Since then a series of archaeobotanical (and archaeozoological) analyses have been carried out. This monograph presents all available archaeobotanical and archaeozoological data from finished research projects or other studies. The botanical data⁸⁵ are further analysed by means of a wide variety of research tools and methods. Interpretations of these analyses bring fresh understanding of the history of cultivated crops and the findings are evaluated in a wider socio-economic context.

The book, which is intended for a diverse audience to include archaeologists, botanists, agronomists and historians, presents archaeobotany as a complex discipline effectively tackling questions about the economy and social aspects of prehistoric communities. It seeks to explain the role of arable farming and crop husbandry, a vital part of the Bronze Age economy, because only better understanding of everyday life of farmers in the Bronze Age on a local level can move us toward understanding the role of Slovakian territory in European history.

Chapter 2 (Background setting) presents a general overview of the environmental and cultural situation. Published views on various aspects of the environment, life and societies of the Bronze Age are provided and novel research approaches (e.g. for palaeoclimate reconstructions) are introduced.

In the subchapter on the climate of Bronze Age Slovakia, the following points are stressed. Due to a lack of palaeoclimate research in Slovakia, researchers tend to use the results of palaeoclimate studies from distant parts of Europe. When these have been used, steppe elements present in some local palynological/archaeobotanical and/or malacological records, for instance, have not been taken into account, and the middle Holocene landscape is therefore usually considered to have been dense deciduous/coniferous forests.

Using distant and/or selective data is demonstrated to be inappropriate and instead macroclimate modelling is presented as a tool that more realistically reconstructs the palaeoclimate. How the model works is described in detail and models for six sites in different regions of Slovakia are developed.

Combining the limited but important published evidence and macroclimate models indicates that Bronze Age Slovakia included elements of open park-like landscape, with possible permanent grassland patches in the driest lowland areas. This is also supported by recent research on pollen profiles in Hungary. Magyari et al. (2010) describe the climate in the region as different from what is known elsewhere in Europe, documenting the persistence of wooded steppe from the early Holocene to the Iron Age.

The sections on the cultural background are provided mostly for non-specialists in archaeology. First, the absolute chronology of the period is shown as a prerequisite for understanding the cultural and environmental changes in the Bronze Age. Then, research on the society is discussed, explaining differences in the archaeological evidence available for the various subperiods and subregions in Slovakia (uneven distribution of graveyards, settlements, hillforts, hoards) and the limits of data retrieval and interpretation. Here, also views on the character and complexity of the society are presented, emphasising specific archaeological evidence upon which some common opinions circulating in Slovak archaeology rests. In general, Bronze Age society is thought to be a highly stratified society. Some evidence is thought to suggest the existence of specialised production and consumption sites, a question addressed towards the end of the book.

⁸⁴ Translator note: The Bronze Age in Slovakia is subdivided into four parts as follows: Early (with an early and a later phase), Middle (one phase only), Late (one phase only) and Final (one phase only). Together, the Slovakian/Bohemian Late and Final Bronze Age periods correlate roughly to the Western European Late Bronze Age. Here the Late and Final are distinct and were evaluated separately.

⁸⁵ At present, over 228.000 finds of charred and uncharred seeds are known from 234 contexts at 41 sites; and if 15.000 charcoal fragments are added, the total number of sites increases to 126.

Chapter 2 ends with a conclusion that agriculture is the underpinning of Bronze Age society, because animal and crop husbandry were the primary means of procuring food. The absence of evidence – installations (e.g. silo-pits), artefacts (e.g. sickles) or ecofacts (e.g. plant macro-remains) – is not considered evidence of the absence of certain farming, food procurement and crop-processing activities. Viewing the evidence (or lack thereof) of agriculture in this way may re-form the picture of Bronze Age society in Slovakia.

Chapter 3 (Methods) describes in detail the methods used for collecting soil samples in the field (judgemental vs. systematic) and their processing (wet-sieving, flotation), methods in the laboratory analyses (sorting and species identification) and mathematical (counting) and statistical evaluations (selection of samples for quantitative and statistical analyses, data standardisation).

Chapter 4 (Material) is the catalogue of sites with archaeobotanical, osteological and malacological finds from Bronze Age sites in Slovakia, in which the sites are listed chronologically. For each site the basic facts and literature are given, followed by the list of plant and animal species identified in its analysed samples. Tables 4.1 – 4.20 in the Appendix detail the archaeological and archaeobotanical information for each sample (context, volume, botanical taxa and the counts of each plant taxon).

Chapter 5 (Plant macroremains – general results) features the spectrum of cultivated crops, and wild and gathered plants of Bronze Age Slovakia. Crops are evaluated in terms of their presence/absence and ubiquity through time. Eight cereal crops (einkorn, emmer, spelt, bread and club wheat; naked and hulled barley; millet), four pulse crops (lentil, sweet pea, Celtic bean, common vetch) and two oil/fibre plants (flax, gold-of-pleasure) were identified. Information on domestication and cultivation history in the Old World is given for each of the species, offering information on its time and place of domestication, and the route along which and when it came to East-Central Europe.

Rare finds of gathered plants, mostly edible berries of shrubs and trees (e.g. prune cherry, cornelian cherry, elderberry, raspberry, blackberry, etc.) indicate that they were a part of the diet. Special attention is paid to acorns, which were recovered from what seems to have been a cultic context. Given the accompanying finds (cereal grains and weeds, fish scales, bones, daub fragments, and pottery), it seems the acorns may have entered the context secondarily, as kitchen waste.

The main constituents of the samples (grain, chaff, seeds of legumes, weeds and gathered fruits) demonstrate that mostly burnt residues of crop stores, with some wastes from their processing, form the archaeobotanical samples. Arable weeds are mentioned in this chapter but are discussed in more detail in Chapter 8.

Chapter 6 (Taphonomic analyses) presents taphonomic analyses as a crucial step in further refining interpretation of the data. Processing crops is considered the most important taphonomic process, which strongly effects the composition of archaeobotanical deposits. In order to analyse the comparable variables in the entire assemblage, individual samples were investigated to determine whether they represent a product, by-product (waste) or the mixture of the two, and from which stage of the crop-processing sequence they came. Four methods (of taphonomic analysis) have been employed. The first two separated wastes (by-products) from stores. The third method enabled closer identification of crop-processed wastes, and the fourth more closely identified the contents of stores. Importantly, the third and fourth methods established also from which particular stage of the crop-processing sequence the wastes (third method) or stores (fourth method) originated. It is clear from the analyses that by-products (wastes) comprise only one quarter of the whole assemblage and the rest of the samples are residues of burnt crop stores. The wastes, for which the crop type could be identified, had mostly been a by-product of the processing of einkorn and emmer (glume wheats) and barley. In contrast, burnt crop stores are mostly of spelt, free-threshing wheats, millet, pulses and gold-of-pleasure (cameline). Half of the store samples had been fully cleaned (free of weeds) and half had been semi-cleaned. The latter, along with the waste samples, are used in further evaluation of agricultural practices in Chapter 8.

In **Chapter 7** (Palaeoeconomy - (Crop)Husbandry strategies of the Bronze Age in Slovakia) the results of taphonomic analyses are evaluated in economic terms aiming to classify the sites as places of production or consumption and to assess the scale of any identified production or consumption. Three models were used as theoretical frameworks for investigating the palaeoeconomy.

The first model 'Model A' is rooted in ethnography and claims that place of production and consumption can be distinguished by the presence/absence of residues (wastes) of the early crop-processing stages. Hillmann (1984) and Jones (1984) contend that these wastes would be present at places of production, while they would be absent at sites of consumption; samples containing unprocessed stores belong to the first group.

By application of this model, ten out of the seventeen evaluated sites could be considered places of production. However, the absence of wastes at the other seven does not mean they were sites of consumption. The available samples are limited and cannot be considered representative, thus the results are inconclusive.

The second model (*Fuller/Stevens 2009*), 'Model B', is based on the workforce requirements for processing crops and the ability to mobilise the necessary workforce during agricultural 'bottlenecks' (periods such as harvest time, when activity is greatest). For example, processing crops fully immediately after harvesting demands a larger workforce, and the ability to mobilise it at such a scale is considered to result from a relatively large communal (or centralized) organisation (usually in societies with some level of complexity). On the other hand, processing crops only through the early stages and during harvest time or immediately after requires a smaller workforce. Here, further crop processing takes place later in the year on a daily basis and is suitable for relatively small communities (larger than a household but not the larger communal or centralized organisation assumed above, and generally a marker of less complex societies).

Reviewing the analysed samples against Model B indicates that during the Early Bronze Age and Late/Final Bronze Age communities were unable to mobilise sufficient workforces to fully clean/process all stores; only some were fully cleaned. The portion of semi/fully cleaned stores suggests that the workforce included more than the members of a single household and involved some (communal?) organisation, but not that of a centralized society. The number of semi/fully cleaned stores increased in the Middle Bronze Age. This could (but need not) be a result of different types of storage practices (bulk vs. smaller storage, silos vs. granaries). Archaeobotany on its own, however, cannot determine whether the ability to mobilise labour was the result of a communal or centralized power. It must be combined with other, at present unavailable, archaeological information.

The third model (*Veen/Jones 2006*), 'Model C', analyses the archaeobotanical information in terms of the presence or absence of grain-rich samples, and the distribution of silo pits and above-ground granaries and fortified sites. The result of combining these sources of evidence can identify shifts in the organisation of farming, landscape and policy. This model could not be successfully applied because as yet there is only incomplete data on the distribution of silo pits and above-ground granaries and relationship between (small) open and fortified sites.

In **Chapter 8** (Weed ecology) ecological information on wild plants is used to reconstruct arable practices. Only samples with sufficient numbers of finds to meet the demands of mathematical and statistical calculations were used in the analyses.

First, exploratory multivariate statistical techniques (DCA, CA, DA) are used to find similarities and differences between storages and wastes of individual crops. All three techniques indicate that the differences are much greater between samples of stores and samples of waste than among individual crop types. In the waste samples glume wheats (einkorn, emmer) and barley are mostly present, while in the storage samples there are mostly millet, free-threshing wheats, spelt wheat and pulses, and there are some mixed storage samples with various crops. Most of the twenty-eight samples of wastes (all but three) are from the Early Bronze Age or the Middle Bronze Age, and the most of the thirty-one storage finds (all but three) are from the Late/Final Bronze Age.

The composition of weed species in wastes of einkorn, emmer and barley are similar. Furthermore, and surprisingly, the composition of weed species in stores of certain very different crops (like spring millet or winter spelt) is very similar to each other. But there are a few storage finds of naked wheat, spelt, millet and Celtic bean that are, in weed composition, more similar to wastes than to storage finds. This could be a result of the use of similar arable practices for various crops or the crop-processing techniques of the Bronze Age having a particular pressure on some weed seeds.

In the ecological analyses described below the complete data matrix (comprising all samples) was used with the aim to define whether similarities/differences between storages and wastes and individual crop types can be explained by differences in behaviour of the wild plants in regard to various ecological factors. Ecological characteristics for individual species were taken from local ecological studies (e.g. *Jurko 1992*). If these were unavailable, information from West-Central Europe was used (*Ellenberg 1979*).

The reaction of weed species to climatic factors (light, temperature and continentality) and soil (edaphic) factors (pH, moisture and nitrogen) were investigated. These have been analysed by means of DA and used for reconstruction of the conditions of the fields (light/shade, temperature, soil moisture, soil richness etc.). Biotic factors that were investigated addressed the time of sowing and the presence (or absence) and intensity of care (tillage/weeding) by using information on the time of germination and the life cycle, the beginning and the length of the flowering period, and the occurrence of a given crop in association with vegetation classes of Secalinetea (winter weeds) or Chenopodieta (summer weeds). Anthropogenic factors investigated were the height of harvest and the intensity and timing of tillage. The biotic and anthropogenic factors were also analysed by DA, some of them only by percentages of species with the same characteristic within the sample.

The ecological information led to proposing the following conclusions. Einkorn, emmer, spelt and free-threshing wheats were sown in autumn and were weeded in early spring. Spelt and free-threshing wheats were harvested in the middle of summer; einkorn and emmer later. Pulses, barley and millet were planted in this respective order in spring. Millet and barley were collected first, pulses later. The growing period of Bronze Age pulses was similar to modern varieties of fodder peas. Techniques for soil preparation did not disturb the soil deeply. The practices associated with intensive tilling are evident in all periods and for all crops. The presence of nitrophilous weed species in all crops indicates that soil quality was maintained. Extensive farming methods – fallowing – is also evident. A maximum five-year (grassland) fallow is proposed for the Early Bronze Age and the Middle Bronze Age. Later on, the fallow period is shortened and more intensive use of arable land is indicated. The use of manure is, on some fields, clear in the Early Bronze Age, but is more common in the Late and Final Bronze Age, mostly for the cultivation of free-threshing wheat, spelt wheat and millet. Cereals were harvested high on the stem. The straw was left in the field and grazed/burned (?). Ancient glume wheats (einkorn and emmer) and barley were also harvested low, probably for the use of straw. There were different agricultural practices used for the cultivation of various crops, but the changes over time described above were statistically insignificant. The reason might be the small assemblage (59 samples), but it might also be the use of very similar methods throughout the region and over time. Further new and systematically collected assemblages are needed to test the validity of the recognized differences and trends resulting from this study.

Chapter 9 (Conclusions) is a synthesis of the results within a wider archaeological context. It presents new findings, with implications for interpretation of the existing (and possibly future) archaeological evidence and understanding the cultural history of Bronze Age Slovakia. (The contents of this chapter are presented in more detail than Chapters 2–8 above, with almost the entire chapter translated.)

The aims were to analyse (using mathematical and multivariate statistics) and interpret (through theoretical frameworks) available archaeobotanical data from the territory of Slovakia, in order to better understand the role of arable farming and crop husbandry in the Bronze Age economy. The data used in this study comprise all published and unpublished carbonized seed assemblages from 41 archaeological sites distributed throughout the country, which were available to the author at the time of research and writing.

Although the database for this study consists of 234 samples, which is ca. 126,500 carbonized seeds, it still cannot be regarded as representative of the whole Bronze Age and all parts of the territory. Information for some subperiods/phases or localized cultures is under-represented or absent. With respect to settlement type there is a similar imbalance, with more plant remains collected from fortified settlements than unfortified. Moreover, the studied archaeobotanical remains are mostly residues representing crop storages, with only a few samples representing the wastes from crop processing. Therefore, comparisons and interpretations are hindered on various levels. Certain observations are (only) subregional, the crop cultivation history of any given (micro) region is partial and links between the practices (and economies) of fortified and open settlements remain unclear. Thus, the interpretations and conclusions drawn in this study should be taken as hypotheses, which need to be tested against further new and systematically collected data sets.

The archaeobotanical analyses indicate that, contrary to previously believed, crops were cultivated in all studied subcultures and phases of the Bronze Age, and crop husbandry was at all times fundamental to the society, which appears to be based on subsistence farming until possibly the Final Bronze Age. No site and no subperiod indicate that any culture or community within Slovakia was pastoralist, relying mostly or totally on animal husbandry.

Cultivated crops and arable practices

In the early phase of the Early Bronze Age, the Neolithic crop package of cereals (einkorn and emmer), flax and pulses (lentil and sweet pea) appears to have continued and use of the same arable practices and methods is suggested, even though plant samples are few.

In the later phase of the Early Bronze Age, finds of burned crop stores attest to continued cultivation of the same species. The sudden occurrence of numerous large silo pits in this phase is usually explained by increased productivity in arable farming resulting from new farming methods and better climate conditions of the period. However, the change in arable practices has not yet been archaeobotanically attested. Analyses of weed assemblages suggest that arable practices were (mostly) intensive, with crops cultivated on permanent fields, probably on small-sized individual plots, throughout both phases of the Early Bronze Age. For tillage the ard (and/or hoe) were used, thus the soil was ‘scratched’ not turned, and the soil disturbance was shallow, not deep. Both of the staple cereals of the period – einkorn and emmer – were sown in the autumn (see Chapter 8). Flax, pulses and probably barley at least in some places (it is found rarely and mostly in the eastern Slovakia) were sown in spring (see Chapter 8). The fields were kept free of weeds, probably by weeding and/or tilling in the spring. The cereals were mostly harvested high on the stem

(under the lowest ear). Very few samples indicate harvesting close to the ground. The presence of low-growing weeds in storage samples indicates that straw and ears were harvested at the same time, and that there were not multiple harvests (first harvest of ears, second harvest of straw). The occurrence of nitrogen-loving weeds (of the rich soils) indicates that soil quality was maintained. Alongside intensive methods (such as the use of farmyard manure, repeated tilling and correct crop rotation), extensive methods (use of fallowing) appear to have been practiced to preserve soil fertility in some fields (see Chapter 8). The very few crops available, allowing only partial crop rotation, suggest that most probably a short fallow was used. The absence of any woodland species and the presence of a high number of plants that grow in permanent grassland communities (meadows) found with crops indicate that the fields were not fallowed for more than *ca* 5 years. Based on the data analysed, it is possible to reject the theory of shifting cultivation (with the use of fallow allowing woodland regeneration and consequent clearing by slash-and-burn) throughout this as well as the following phases of the Bronze Age.

During the Middle Bronze Age a new cereal – millet – was introduced and cultivated next to einkorn and emmer. Millet differs from the previously cultivated cereals mostly by its short growing season, relatively high and reliable yields, even under poor conditions and wider ecologic plasticity (it can grow in dry, rich and poor soils). As it is strictly a summer crop, the farmers of the Middle Bronze Age could experiment with new crop rotation techniques, aiming to increase the use and effectiveness of permanent fields and to secure higher yields in shorter time. The importance of millet in the life of the people of the Middle Bronze Age is also attested by its presence in the Lozorno (cult) hoard of ceramic vessels (Bartík/Hajnalová 2004). Unfortunately, very little is known about cultivation practices (e.g. intensity, use of manure, fallowing, etc.) in the Middle Bronze Age Slovakia as there only are very few samples, and those do not have a sufficient number of finds to perform the needed analyses.

During the Late but mostly at the beginning of the Final Bronze Age (that is in the middle of the European Late Bronze Age) the first significant change in arable farming of early prehistory occurred: at least four (possibly five) new staple crops – bread/macaroni wheat, club wheat, spelt wheat, Celtic bean and gold-of-pleasure – and new arable farming practices were introduced. Methods to intensify cultivation included greater use of farmyard manure and better crop rotation, but most significant was the further shortening of the fallow period. There are also indications for more widespread use of fallowing, which might suggest that larger areas of the landscape came under cultivation. Thus it seems that some fields were cultivated under intensive and some under extensive regimes, but the latter are not connected to particular crops. Data are insufficient to state whether the use of an extensive regime might be connected to (new?) spatial distributions of arable land (e.g. located a greater distance from home) or exploitation of other (new) soil types. The different sowing times and/or growing period for the various crop species enabled farmers to spread their work throughout the year, to stagger the aspects that demand greatest personnel and time (ploughing, sowing and harvesting). At the end of the Bronze Age and at the beginning of the Hallstatt period there is a certain change (regression?) in arable farming, shown in the disappearance of free-threshing wheats and the increased importance of pulse crops.

Field size, arable land extent and cultivated crop surplus

Bronze Age analyses in Slovakia demonstrate the use of permanent plots of arable land, which is similar to Neolithic findings in Central Europe (Bogaard 2004, 155). The delimitation of permanent fields is evident, for example, in the presence of wild plants species typical of hedges. This is particularly noteworthy for Slovakia, where, from the medieval period onwards, such is not apparent in the landscape.

The extent of arable land is influenced by more than one factor – among others the size and needs of a farming community, yields of the cultivated crops and conditions of the environment (soils and climate). The numbers obtained from ethnographic observations, experiments and theoretical calculations for the extent of arable land needed to support the basic needs of a household unit of 4-6 members vary, but only by very little. All sources agree they range from 0.8 to 1 hectare for an intensive ('Neolithic garden agriculture') regime to *ca* 3.75 ha if fallowing is practiced (see Bogaard 2004, tab. 2.1; Dreslerová 2011; M. Hajnalová/Danielisová *in prep.*; Hajnalová/Dreslerová 2010).

There is an often-stated hypothesis, that the introduction of the ard in the Late Eneolithic enabled the (tremendous) increase in farmed area, and therefore the production of surplus. P. Halstead (1995) argues more convincingly that only specialised animals (oxen) used for pulling an ard enabled farming larger plots to produce surplus. Given that oxen are rare in archaeozoological material before the Bronze Age (Pollex 1999) ard ploughing can only be seen as an invention of a little consequence (Halstead 1995). In faunal remains from Slovakia there is a visible increase in finds pointing to the use of cattle for pulling (Ambros 1965, 134-135) in the later phase of the Early Bronze Age. Ambros does not state, however, whether oxen finds are present among these faunal remains. In the concluding chapter of his work, he writes (1965, 212): "...Based on the parts of the skeleton where sexual dimorphism can be demonstrated, we have observed that on the settlement sites [of the later phase of the Early Bronze Age] castrates were present, as well as bulls

and cows. The main reason for castration was surely the change of utility (the use of the cattle as a working animal, higher level of meat production).”

While the presence of oxen as a working animal allows proposing that the extent of arable land could have increased in this period, the narrow spectra of cultivated crops seems to have limited the scale of production. The ploughing/sowing and harvesting times are similar for winter einkorn and emmer and for summer pulses; therefore an increase in cultivated land would have only been possible with a larger workforce. Furthermore, the wild plants from the archaeological samples of this period in fact show that the extent of arable land did not increase. Weeds point to the use of ‘garden’ agriculture, connected to smaller fields. The size of farmed land probably did not increase until the Late Bronze Age, when the crop spectrum widened: their growing regimes allowed a more even distribution of farming works through the year and (probably) precluded the need for a larger workforce during what had been ‘bottleneck’ seasons. It is not known whether farming large areas with less intensive methods, like little or no weeding, and use of, probably grazed, fallow survived in the following Hallstatt period. The narrowed spectrum of crops suggests the opposite.

The approximate size of individual fields throughout the Bronze Age can be derived using ethnographic and archaeological data (e.g. stone walls surrounding plots known from Northern and Western Europe dating 1000 cal BC to 200 AD). The surviving ancient field complexes cover areas of more than several hundred hectares, with individual plot sizes usually ranging from 20 x 20 m to 20 x 40 m (see *M. Hajnalová/Dreslerová 2010*, here also further reading). These sizes fully correspond with ethnographic sources, where the size of an individual plot is stated to match the time it takes to sow it in one day (here ‘sowing’ means ploughing/tilling the soil, planting the seeds in the ground, and covering them with soil) and to harvest all plots in a given time. Einkorn (and other crops) is cultivated under traditional non-mechanised methods in a plot between 1 ar to 18 ares⁸⁶, with the average between 2 and 4 ares (*M. Hajnalová/Dreslerová 2010*).

One aim of the analyses performed in Chapter 7 was to determine whether fortified and open settlements could be characterized as places of production or consumption, and to define the scale of their production. The results show that all the settlement communities evaluated – fortified and unfortified, earlier and later, of the lowlands and the uplands – cultivated and processed their crops. Finds of unprocessed or only partially processed crop storages and wastes from early phases of crop processing are considered indicators of production by a local community, and they were present at all evaluated sites. Because unprocessed or unclean storages are undesirable (numerous weed contaminants shorten the possible length of storage time) they are seen also as possible indicators that a community was unable to mobilize a sufficient workforce during harvest time to proceed further in a crop-processing sequence, which would prevent losses. Semi-clean storages were also found at two hill forts, where arable soils are only available at a distance from them (over a 30-minute walk steeply downhill). At these sites, any waste from crop processing is missing (but only the storage finds were sampled); therefore, it could not be determined whether the stored crops were produced nearby, in the vicinity of the hillforts by their resident communities (and were destined for immediate consumption), or represented tribute (to the ‘protector’) paid by other communities. In either community such a store could be the result of an insufficient workforce to proceed further in cleaning the crop after harvest. To answer such questions, it is necessary to obtain and compare samples of crop-processing residues (wastes) from those hillforts as well as open ‘farming’ settlements in their vicinity, and to interrogate the new results against other archaeological data (presence/absence of luxury items at those sites, signs of permanent/temporary or seasonal settlement, etc.).

For reconstructing the scale of production, the results of several analyses are combined. Arable farming practices and methods used during the Bronze Age in Slovakia show that the extent of farmed land for each household unit was that which could be worked by the members of the household. The ability of households to produce surplus – more than the human members consume for subsistence – is questionable, or something that only happened on an irregular basis – e.g. the result of particularly favourable sowing time and growing conditions in a particular year. The probability of surplus production is higher from the end of the Late Bronze Age, but mostly from the beginning of the Final Bronze Age. However, there are as yet no finds that can be interpreted as crop surpluses, and no proof for settlements (or households) of a size or type that consumed them. While surpluses could be consumed at feasts, used as fodder, traded or left as reserve/security for bad years, environmental archaeology most likely would have detected that the studied settlements were of such complexity.

⁸⁶ 1 ar = 10 x 10 m = 100 m²

Whether or not recent findings in archaeology and environmental sciences enable us to define relationships between (possible) changes in human behaviour and recognized changes in the climate and natural environment is very much debated. A series of detailed analyses to address this issue was recently carried out by D. Dreslerová (2011, 200) who concludes: “...If we are to evaluate the impact of palaeoclimate changes [which is the most important factor influencing the natural environment] on the evolution of past human societies, we come to a problem. On the one hand climate phenomena are limited to distinct, and often very small, areas; on the other hand there is limited knowledge of human behaviour in the past, and it was not necessarily driven strictly by economic or practical aspects of existence. Our modern concepts and understandings derive from an assumption, that man is (and always was) a rational being, and thus dealt with, or at least in some ways reacted to, climate changes, probably in ways similar to how we do/would today. This assumption, however, is not based on the truth [this can be translated as ‘not necessarily true’ or, in another Czech sense, ‘even if an assumption is not based on the truth it still can have (but does not have to have) the “correct” outcome’]. Moreover, as the historical examples demonstrate climate changes (and often also abrupt weather events like floods, hail storms, morning frosts, etc.) are not usually the real or the only cause of historic events, they are usually only a trigger mechanism during times of already accumulated problems [in the society]. However, if the society is in a secure state [temporary equilibrium] the reaction to climate change/an event will be much less dramatic and thus in archaeological sources usually not recognizable.”

In response to the work of Dreslerová, reconstruction of the relationship between climate and agriculture in prehistory is explored in Chapter 9. The climate reconstruction produced by the Macrophysical Climate Model (MCM) program of R.A. Bryson (*Bryson/McEnaney DeWall 2007*) has been used to answer the questions of whether there were in fact climate changes and whether the climate changes really influenced crop husbandry of the Bronze Age in Slovakia (and if yes, in what way). Reasons for choosing this source of past climate data and not the reconstructions based on different proxy data models are discussed in Chapter 2.

Looking at the MCM outputs for various sites, in the east, north, centre and west of the studied region, it is clear that the climate of (south and central) west Slovakia during the early phase of the Early Bronze Age was wet (and cold) oscillation (wetter than the climate of the Neolithic and Eneolithic periods), which from a modern perspective would optimize arable farming conditions – reducing the previous moisture deficit. It is unclear how/if the staple cereal crops of the time – einkorn and emmer (originating from dryer and warmer areas of the Middle East) reacted to this change. In the later phase of the Early Bronze Age, the climate became drier (and warmer) again, but never reached the very dry conditions of the Neolithic and Eneolithic.

Conditions in the east of the region were the reverse. The climate of the Neolithic and Eneolithic were wetter and colder (than the west) and became drier in the early phase of the Early Bronze Age. From a modern perspective this climate development again would produce better local farming conditions than in the Neolithic and Eneolithic.⁸⁷ However, during the later phase of the Early Bronze Age the climate deteriorates and becomes (from a modern perspective) ‘too wet’. A similar development is seen in the modelled sites of northern Slovakia.

Because the crop spectrum from the Neolithic to the Early Bronze Age was the same and the cultivation techniques were very similar, a correlation between modelled climate change and recognized fluctuations in settlement patterns might be a fruitful line of future inquiry⁸⁸.

According to the MCM, the Middle Bronze Age is the period when climate conditions became again more optimal. In the (south)west, increased precipitation lowered the moisture deficit, in the east the climate became drier and the values of the precipitation and evapotranspiration equalized. The conditions resemble the situation from the early phase of the Early Bronze Age, but with smaller increments of change. Probably the introduction of a new crop – millet, which originates in the Central Asia and probably migrated westwards via the route along the northern shores of the Black Sea – can be seen as one of the adaptation strategies to the changes of climate and environment. It appears that (not only) Bronze Age farmers tended to experiment with new crops/techniques when the climate and natural environment were optimal and/or when there was social stability, rather than during periods of distress (of any kind). This hypothesis will be pursued in future work.

⁸⁷ It is always necessary to understand the climate and its changes within its local diachronic context. For example, it may be better when it gets wetter in some places because it was previously too dry, and in some places it may be better when it gets drier because it was previously too wet. For the starting climate conditions in Bronze Age Slovakia, which were different in the east and west, see Fig.2.1-2.6; the first three are for west (and west-central), and the last three are for east, and north of the studied territory.

⁸⁸ Kopčėková (2009) and Demján (2009) have used large datasets and GIS methods for finding and defining changes in settlement patterns and settlement density in different time periods and cultures in relatively large areas. Combining their results with those of this study may lead to determining the ability and ways of past societies in Slovakia to produce crops for their subsistence or even surplus, and define the role it played (or did not play) in the process of social stratification and in societal development (see, for example, *Halstead 1989*), which could only be partially addressed at the present time.

The climate of the Late and Final Bronze Age seems to have been the most ideal for farming in the studied period. It is relatively stable, with good precipitation and temperature balance both in the east and in the west of the region. The only (minor) climate event happened 1100-1000 cal BC and is connected with a small drop in temperatures and slight decrease in precipitation in the west, and a slight drop in temperatures and moderate increase in moisture in the east. Also the pace and volume of precipitation during the year is favourable for cultivation through the period, with the precipitation maximum in June and a smaller one in November. The positive qualitative (and probably also quantitative) changes in arable farming occurred during this relatively long and stable climate period. The last climate change/event, similar to one in the 11th century BC, is recorded in the 8th century BC, when some regression/change in farming also took place.

In Slovakia there is a continuity of occupation through the Bronze Age, albeit with fluctuating intensity and shifts of settlement areas, which do not always correspond to the recognized changes in crop production. We can therefore assume that the strategies of the ancient farmers were usually successful, most probably in the same way that modern societies flexibly react to changes (cultural and climatic). Bronze Age societies had their own mechanisms (economic, social and cultural) that helped them to overcome their challenges.

LITERATÚRA

- Akeret, Ö. 2005: Plant remains from a Bell Beaker site in Switzerland, and the beginnings of *Triticum spelta* (spelt) cultivation in Europe. *Vegetation history and archaeobotany* 14(4): 279-286. ISSN 0939-6314.
- Akeret, Ö., Jacomet, S. 1997: Analysis of plant macrofossils in goat/sheep faeces from the Neolithic lake shore settlement of Horgen Scheller – an indication of prehistoric transhumance? *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 6: 235-239. ISSN 0939-6314.
- Alvarez, J. B., Cabarello, L., Martín, L. M. 2007: Variability for morphological traits and high molecular weight glutenin subunits in Spanish spelt lines. *Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization* 5(3): 128-130. DOI: 10. 1017/S1479262107826098. ISSN 1479-2621.
- Ambros, C. 1955: Nález kostí psa z Nitrianskeho Hrádku, Okr. Šurany. *Slov. Archeol.* 3: 107-109. Bez ISSN.
- Ambros, C. 1958: Zvieracie kosti z doby bronzovej z Dvorov nad Žitavou. *Slov. Archeol.* 6: 66-81. Bez ISSN.
- Ambros, C. 1959: Zvieracie zvyšky z doby bronzovej z Gánoviec, okr. Poprad. *Slov. Archeol.* 7: 47-70. Bez ISSN.
- Ambros, C. 1965: Rekonštrukcia chovu domácich zvierat v dobe bronzovej podľa archeologických nálezov na Slovensku so zvláštnym zreteľom na hovädzí dobytok. Kandidátska dizertácia. Nitra. 231 s. Bez ISBN.
- Ambros, C. 1967: Zvieracie kosti. In: B. Novotný, R. M. Kovalčík: Sídliisko zo staršej doby bronzovej pri Spišskom Štvrtku, okr. Spišská Nová Ves. *Zbor. FFKU* 18. *Musaica* 7: 32-35. Bez ISSN.
- Ambros, C. 1969: Bemerkungen zur Auswertung der Tierknochen aus Siedlungsgrabungen. In: Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Forschungsbericht 15. *Archäologie und Biologie*. Wiesbaden. 76-87. Bez ISBN.
- Ambros, C. 1976: Prírastky archeozoologického materiálu z výskumov Archeologického ústavu SAV v roku 1975. *AVANS* 1975: 17-19. Bez ISBN.
- Ambros, C. 1977: Prírastky archeozoologického materiálu z výskumov v roku 1976. *AVANS* 1976: 23-29. Bez ISBN.
- Ambros, C. 1982: Zvieracie zvyšky zo sídliska strednej doby bronzovej v Liptovskej Teplej-Madočanoch. *Archeol. Rozhl.* 34: 568-575. Bez ISSN.
- Ames, K. M. 2010: On the Evolution of the Human Capacity for Inequality and/or Egalitarianism. In: G. M. Feinman and T. D. Price (eds.): *Pathways to Power. New Perspectives on the Emergence of Social Inequality*. Springer. New York. 15-44. ISBN 1441962999.
- Anderberg, A-L. 1994. Atlas of seeds and small fruits of Northwest-European plant species (Sweden, Norway, Denmark, East Fennoscandia, Iceland). Part 4, *Resedaceae-Umbelliferae*. Swedish Museum of Natural History. Stockholm. 281 s. ISBN 9186510266.
- Anderson, S., Ertuğ-Yaraş, F. 1998: Fuel Fodder and Faeces: An Ethnographic and Botanical Study of Dung Fuel Use in Central Anatolia. *Environmental Archaeology* 1: 99-109. ISBN 1 900188 60 0.
- André, J. 1981: *L'Alimentation et La Cuisine a Rome*. Les Belles Lettres. Paris. 91 s. Bez ISBN.
- Arnold, J. E. 2000: Revisiting power, labour rights, and kinship: archaeology and social theory. In: M. B. Schiffer (ed.): *Social Theory and Archaeology*. Salt Lake City: The University of Utah Press. 14-30. ISBN 0874806429.
- Bakels, C. C. 2009: *The Western European Loess Belt. Agrarian History, 5300 BC – AD 1000*. Springer. 295 s. ISBN 978-1-4020-9839-0.
- Balaša, G. 1955: Nález bronzových predmetov pri Vyškovciach nad Ipľom. *Archeol. Rozhl.* 7: 443-445. Bez ISSN.
- Barta, P. 2008: Studies on Absolute Chronology of the Bronze Age in East-central Europe: Methods and applications I, II. Archeologický ústav SAV. Nitra. Nepublikovaná PhD dizertačná práca. 317 s. Bez ISBN.
- Barta, P. 2009: K absolútnej chronológii doby bronzovej vo východnej strednej Európe: Metódy a aplikácie. Bratislava, 31 s. ISBN 978-80-970172-1-7.
- Bárta, J. 1955: Pomoc archeológie pri datovaní zaľadnenia Silickej jaskyne. In: *Ochrana ľadových jaskýň*. Liptovský Mikuláš. 81-84. Bez ISBN.
- Bárta, J. 1975: Speleoarcheologický výskum kostrovej jaskyne pri Zádielskych Dvorníkoch, *AVANS* 1974: 17. Bez ISBN.
- Bárta, J., Mácelová, M., Pieta, K. 1987: Ďalší prieskum Netopierskej jaskyne v Sásovskom kráse. *AVANS* 1986: 29-30. Bez ISBN.
- Bartík, J. 1997: Výskum v Dvorníkoch – Posádke. *AVANS* 1995: 23-24. ISBN 80-88709-33-4.
- Bartík, J. 1998: Výskum v Dvorníkoch – Posádke. *AVANS* 1996: 21-23. ISBN 80-88709-44-X.
- Bartík, J. 2001: Sídliiská stredodunajskej mohylovej kultúry na Slovensku. Bratislava. Nepublikovaná PhD dizertačná práca. 240 s. Bez ISBN.
- Bartík, J. 2003: Sídliisko zo strednej doby bronzovej v Bratislave-Rusovciach. *Zborník Slovenského Národného Múzea XCVII, Archeológia* 13: 5-29. ISBN 80-8060-118-6.
- Bartík, J., Bazovský, I., Turčan, V. 2000: Záchranný výskum na trase Borová – Trnava. *AVANS* 1999: 19-20. ISBN 80-88709-49-0.
- Bartík, J., Hajnalová, M. 2004: Hromadný nález keramiky z Lozorna. Príspevok ku keramickým depotom mohylovej kultúry na západnom Slovensku. *Zborník Slovenského Národného Múzea XCVIII, Archeológia* 14: 9-42. ISBN 80-8060-134-8.
- Bátora, J. 1979: Žiarové pohrebiská lužickej kultúry v oblasti Zvolena. *Slov. Archeol.* XXVII-1: 57-83. Bez ISSN.
- Bátora, J. 1982: Ekonomicko-sociálny vývoj východného Slovenska v staršej dobe bronzovej. *Slov. Archeol.* 30: 249-314. Bez ISSN.
- Bátora, J. 1983: Opevnená osada zo staršej doby bronzovej v Hostiach Arch. *Rozhľedy* 35: 72-79. Bez ISSN.
- Bátora, J. 1987: Piata sezóna záchranného výskumu v Jelšovciach. *AVANS* 1986: 32. Bez ISBN.
- Bátora, J. 1988: Záverečná sezóna záchranného výskumu v Jelšovciach. *AVANS* 1987: 33-34. Bez ISBN.
- Bátora, J. 1991: Záverečná sezóna záchranného výskumu v Ludaniciach časti Mýtna Nová Ves. *AVANS* 1989: 23. Bez ISBN.
- Bátora, J. 1999: Gräber mit Totenhäusern auf frühbronzezeitlichen Gräberfeldern in der Slowakei. *Prähistorische Zeitschrift* 74: 1-57. ISSN 0079-4848.

- Bátora, J. 2000: Das Gräberfeld von Jelšovce. Ein Beitrag zur Frühbronzezeit im nordwestlichen Karpatenbecken (I, II). Kiel. Oetker/Voges. 302 s. ISBN 3-9804322-9-7.
- Bátora, J. 2009: Metallurgy and early Bronze Age fortified settlements in Slovakia, Slov. Archeol. 57(2): 195-219. ISSN 1335-0102.
- Bátora, J. 2000: On problems of absolute chronology of the early Bronze Age in southwestern Slovakia. Geochronometria 19: 33-36. ISSN 1733-8387.
- Bátora, J., Ižof, J. 1977: Opevnená osada zo staršej doby bronzovej v Hostiach. AVANS 1976: 46-49. Bez ISBN.
- Bátora, J., Rassman, K. 2006: Neolitické sídlisko v Rybníku. AVANS 2004: 32-33. ISBN 80-88709-92-X.
- Bátora, J., Rassman, K. 2006: Zisťovací výskum na sídlisku zo staršej doby bronzovej v Rybníku. AVANS 2004: 31-32. ISBN 80-88709-92-X.
- Becker, B., Krause, R., Kromer, B. 1989: Zur absoluten Chronologie der frühen Bronzezeit. Germania 67: 421-442. ISSN 0016-8874.
- Bednár, P. 1996: Siedma sezóna výskumu Nitrianskeho hradu. AVANS 1994: 29-31. ISBN 80-88709-27-X.
- Behre, K.-E. 1975: Wikingerzeitlicher Ackerbau in der Seemarsch bei Elisenhof, Schleswig-Holstein (Deutsche Nordseeküste). Folia Quaternaria 46, 49-62. Bez ISSN.
- Beijerinck, W. 1947: Zadenatlas der Nederlandsche flora: Ten behoeve de botanie, palaeontologie, bodemcultuur en Warenkennis. Veenman & Zonen. Wageningen. 316 s. Bez ISBN.
- Benediková, L. 2006: Besiedlung der Westkarpaten und des nördlichen Teils der Ostkarpaten von der Hallstatt- bis zur Mittellatènezeit. Dizertačná práca. Archeologický ústav SAV, Nitra. 342 s. Bez ISBN.
- Benediková, L., Hajnalová, M. 2003: Rastlinné makrozvyšky a mazanica. In: G. Březinová et al. (eds.): Nitra-Chrenová. Archeologické výskumy na plochách stavenísk Shell a Baumax. Katalóg, Nitra. Archeologický ústav SAV. 73-84. ISBN 80-88709-62-2.
- Beneš, J. 2005: Klimatické změny a environmentální archeologie: poznámky k článku Jana Bouzka – Climatic change in prehistory and environmental archeology. Archeologické rozhledy 57: 529-533. ISSN 03231267.
- Benkovský-Pivovarová, Z. 1976: Zur Enddatierung des Kulturkreises Maďarovce-Věteřov-Böheimkirchen. Germania 54, 342-359. ISSN 0016-8874.
- Béres, J., Horváthová, E. 2001: Záchranný výskum v Malých a Veľkých Raškovciach. AVANS 2000: 46-47. ISBN 80-88709-50-4.
- Berggren, G. 1969. Atlas of seeds and small fruits of Northwest-European plant species with morphological descriptions. Part 2, Cyperaceae. Swedish Museum of Natural History. Stockholm. 107 s. ISBN nezistené.
- Berggren, G. 1981. Atlas of seeds and small fruits of Northwest-European plant species with morphological descriptions. Part 3, Salicaceae-Cruciferae. Swedish Museum of Natural History. Stockholm. 261 s. ISBN nezistené.
- Bieniek A. 1999. Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* s. l.) and feather grass (*Stipa* sp.) in the early Neolithic in the Kujawy region. Polish Botanical Studies, Guidebook Series 23: 89–106. ISBN 83-85444-70-X.
- Bieniek, A. 2002: Archaeobotanical analysis of some early Neolithic settlements in the Kujawy region, central Poland, with potential plant gathering activities emphasised. Vegetation History and Archaeobotany 11(1-2): 33-40. ISSN 0939-6314.
- Bieniek, A. 2007: Neolithic plant husbandry in the Kujawy region of central Poland. In: S. Colledge, J. Conolly (eds.): The Origins and Spread of Domestic Plants in Southwest Asia and Europe. 1 ed. Walnut Creek, CA, Left Coast Press. 327-342. ISBN 978-1-59874-988-5.
- Blatter, R. H. E., Jacomet, S., Schaub, A. 2004: About the origin of European spelt (*Triticum spelta* L.): allelic differentiation of the HMW Glutenin B1-1 and A1-2 subunit genes. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 108(2): 360-367. DOI: 10. 1007/s00122-003-1441-7.
- Boardman, S., Jones, G. 1990: Experiments on the effects of charring on cereal plant components. Journal of Archaeological Science 17: 1-11. ISSN 0305-4403.
- Bogaard, A. 2004: Neolithic Farming in Central Europe. Routledge. London. 209 s. ISBN 0-415-32486-6.
- Bogaard, A., Jones, G., Charles, M. and Hodgson, J. G. 2001: On the archaeobotanical inference of crop sowing time using the FIBS method. Journal of Archaeological Science, 28: 1171–83. ISSN 0305-4403.
- Boserup, E. 1965: The Conditions of Agricultural Growth: The Economics of Agrarian Change under Population Pressure. Chicago, Aldine. 108 s. ISBN 0-415-31298-1.
- Bryson, R. A. 1985: On Climatic analogs in Palaeoclimatic Reconstruction. Quaternary Research, 23(3): 275-86. ISSN nezistené.
- Bryson, R. A. 1988: Late Quaternary Volcanic Modulation of Milankovitch Climate Forcing. Theoretical and Applied Climatology 39: 115-125. ISSN nezistené.
- Bryson, R. A., Bryson, R. U. 1995: An Archaeoclimatology workbook: High resolution, Site-specific Climate Modelling for Field Scientists. Center for Climatic research, University of Wisconsin-Madison, and Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Minnesota. Madison, WI. ISBN nezistené.
- Bryson, R. A., Bryson, R. U. 1997a: High Resolution Simulations of Regional Holocene Climate: North Africa and the Near East. In: H. N. Dalfes, G. Kukla and H. Weiss (eds.): Third Millennium BC Climate Change and Old World Collapse. NATO ASI Series 49: 565-593. ISBN nezistené.
- Bryson, R. A., Bryson, R. U. 1997b: Holocene Climates of Anatolia: as Simulated with Archaeoclimatic Models. TÜBA-AR 2: 1-13. ISSN 2156-2253.
- Bryson, R. A., Bryson, R. U. 2000: High Resolution Models of the Monsoon for Africa and Asia. Global and Planetary Change 26: 77-84. ISSN 0921-8181.
- Bryson, R. U., Bryson, R. A., Ruter, A. H. 2006: Calibrated Radiocarbon Database of Late Quaternary Volcanic Eruptions. eEarth Discuss 1: 123-124. Online <http://www.electronic-earth-discuss.net/1/123/2006/eed-1-123-2006.pdf>. Accessed online 13. 1. 2010.
- Bryson, R. A., McEnaney DeWall, K. 2007: A Paleoclimatology Workbook: High Resolution, Site Specific, Macrophysical Climate Modeling. Mammoth Site of Hot Springs: The Mammoth of Hot Springs SD, Inc. Bez ISBN.

- Březinová, G. et al. 2003: Nitra-Chrenová. Archeologické výskumy na plochách stavenísk Shell a Baumax. Katalóg. Nitra. Archeologický ústav SAV. 73-84. ISBN 80-88709-62-2.
- Březinová, G. 1993: Záchranný výskum v Nitre. AVANS 1992: 28-29. ISBN 80-88709-09-1.
- Březinová, G. 1998: Záchranný výskum v Nitre. AVANS 1996: 41-42. ISBN 80-88709-36-9.
- Březinová, G. 2006: Predstihový záchranný výskum na Mostnej ulici v Nitre. AVANS 2004: 53-55. ISBN 978-80-89315-12-3.
- Březinová, G., Samuel, M. et al. 2007: Tak čo, našli ste niečo? Archeologický ústav SAV. Nitra. 2007. 165 s. ISBN 80-88709-94-6.
- Břízová, E., Pišút, P., Čejka, T., Uherčíková, E. 2007: Holocénní vývoj nivy Dudváhu (lokalita Štúrová, Žitný ostrov, Slovensko). In: J. Měkotová, O. Štěrbá (eds.): Říční krajina 5, recenzovaný sborník příspěvků z konference. 22-36. PFF UP Olomouc. Olomouc. ISBN 978-80-244-1890-2.
- Buczko, K., Magyari, E. K., Bitusik, P., Wacnik, A. 2009: Review of dated Late Quaternary palaeolimnological records in the Carpathian region, east-central Europe. *Hydrobiologia* 631(1): 3-28. ISSN 0018-8158.
- Budaváry, V. 1929: Žiarové hroby z mladšej doby bronzovej v Porubskej doline pri Ilave. *Časopis Muzeálnej slovenskej spoločnosti* 31: 123-125. Bez ISSN.
- Budinský-Krička, V. 1950: Poklad bronzových ozdôb z Malého Blahova, okr. Dunajská Streda. *Časopis Muzeálnej slovenskej spoločnosti* 51: 1-13. Bez ISSN.
- Budinský-Krička, V., Veliačik, L. 1986: Krásna Ves, Gräberfeld der Lausitzer Kultur. 154 s. Bez ISBN.
- Bujna, J., Rejholcová, M. 1983: Prvá sezóna záchranného výskumu v Dubníku. AVANS 1982: 66-67. Bez ISBN.
- Bujna, J., Romsauer, P. 1982: Záverečná výskumná sezóna v Bučanoch. AVANS 1981: 56-59. Bez ISBN.
- Campbell, G. 2000: Plant utilization: the evidence from charred plant remains. In: B. Cunliffe (ed.): *The Danebury environs programme. The prehistory of a Wessex landscape, vol I: introduction.* (English Heritage and Oxford University Committee for Archaeology, Monograph 48). English Heritage. London. 45-59. ISBN 10 0-947816-48-8.
- Caneppele, A., Kohler-Schneider, M. 2009: Archäobotanische Untersuchung eines latènezeitlichen Getreidespeichers aus der „Keltensiedlung Sandberg“ bei Roseldorf (Weinviertel, Niederösterreich). In: V. Holzer. (ed.): *Roseldorf. Interdisziplinäre Forschungen zur grössten keltischen Zentralsiedlung Österreichs.* Forschung im Verbund. Schriftenreihe Band 102, Wien. 103-143. ISBN 10 3-9502188-4-X.
- Cărciumaru, M. 1996: Paleobotanica: studii în preistoria și protoistoria României. Glasul Bucovinei Helios, Iasi. 201 s. ISBN nezistené.
- Colledge, S., Connolly, J. 2007: *The Origins and Spread of Domestic Plants in Southwest Asia and Europe.* 1 ed. Walnut Creek, CA, Left Coast Press. 446 s. ISBN 978-1-59874-988-5.
- Constantini, L. 2004: The archaeobotany of grapevine in the Caucasus and the northern Black Sea Region. In: *Development of National Programmes on Plant Genetic Resources in Southeastern Europe – Conservation of Grapevine in the Caucasus and Northern Black Sea Region. Second Project Meeting, 16-18 September 2004, Yalta, Ukraine. Book of abstracts English/Russian.* Institute Vine & Wine Magarach, International Plant Genetic Resources Institute. 73-75. ISBN nezistené.
- Crumley, C. L. 1995: Cultural implications of historic climatic change. In: M. Kuna, N. Venclová (ed.): *Whither archaeology?* Institute of Archeology. Praha. 121-132. ISBN 80-901934-0-4.
- Čaplovič, P. 1977: Dolný Kubín II. Halštatské popolnicové pohrebisko. Martin. 172 s. Bez ISBN.
- Čvančara, F. 1962: Zemědělská výroba v číslech. Díl I. Praha: Státní Zemědělské Nakladatelství. ISBN 07-028-62. P. 1170.
- Davis, B. A. S., Brewer, S., Stevenson, A. C. 2003: The temperature of Europe during the Holocene reconstructed from pollen data. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 22: 1701-1716. ISSN 0277-3791.
- Dekker, J. 2011: Evolutionary Ecology of Weeds. http://www.agron.iastate.edu/~weeds/PDF_Library/Agron_517/EEW_ClassUse_1.1.11.pdf accessed online 21. 6. 2011.
- Demeterová, S. 1984: Influence de la culture de Suci de Sus dans la plaine de la Slovaquie orientale. *Slov. Arch.* 32: 11-74. Bez ISBN.
- Demján, P. 2009: Vývoj osídlenia dolného Ponitria a Pohronia od neolitu po staršiu dobu bronzovú. *MEDEA – Studia Mediaevalia et antiqua* 13: 1-21. ISSN 1336-7706.
- Dennel, R. W. 1974: Botanical evidence for prehistoric crop processing activities. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 1: 275-284. ISSN 0305-4403.
- Dennel, R. W. 1976: The economic importance of plant resources represented on archaeological sites. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 3: 229-247. ISSN 0305-4403.
- Digby, P. G. N., Kempton, R. A. 1987: *Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Communities.* Chapman and Hal. London. 206 s. ISBN 0412246406.
- Dostál, J., Červenka, M. 1992: Velký klíč na určování rostlin I, II. SPN, Bratislava, 1584 s. ISBN 80-08-00003-1, ISBN 80-08-00273-5.
- Drennan, R. D., Peterson, Ch. E., Fox, J. R. 2010: Degrees and Kinds of Inequality. In: G. M. Feinman and T. D. Price (eds.): *Pathways to Power. New Perspectives on the Emergence of Social Inequality.* Springer. New York. 298 s. ISBN 1441962999.
- Dreslerová, D. 2005: Klima v pravěku – mýtus a skutečnost. Několik poznámek k článku Jana Bouzka. – *Climate in prehistory – a myth and a reality.* Archeologické rozhledy 57, 534-548. ISSN 03231267.
- Dreslerová, D. 2011: Přírodní prostředí a pravěké zemědělské společnosti (na území Čech). Nepublikovaná PhD dizertačná práca. Ústav pro pravěk a rannou dobu dějinnou Univerzita Karlova. Praha. 232 s. Bez ISBN.
- Đurišová, M. 1991: Záchranný výskum v Kysuckom Novom Meste – Radofa. AVANS 1989: 31-32. Bez ISBN.
- Dušek, M. 1969: Birituales Gräberfeld der Karpatenländischen Hügelgräberkultur in Dolný Peter. In: *Bronzezeitliche Gräberfelder in der Südwestslowakei.* Arch. Slov. Catalogi 4. Bratislava. 50-81. Bez ISBN.
- Dvorak, J., Akhunov, E. D., Akhunov, A., Deal, K. R., Luo, M-CH. 2006: Molecular Characterization of a Diagnostic DNA Marker for Domesticated Tetraploid Wheat Provides Evidence for Gene Flow from Wild Tetraploid Wheat to Hexaploid Wheat. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 23(7): 1386-1396. DOI 10. 1093/molbev/msl004.

- Earle, T. 2002: Bronze Age Economics. The beginnings of political economies. Westview Press. Boulder Colorado. ISBN 0-8133-3969-3.
- Earle, T., Kristiansen, K. 2010: Organizing Bronze Age Societies. Cambridge University Press. New York. 303 s. ISBN 978-0-521-76466-7.
- Eliáš, P. jun 2007: *Prunus fruticosa* Pallas – třešeň křovitá / čerešňa krovitá. <http://botany.cz/cs/prunus-fruticosa/> Accessed online 13. 1. 2010.
- Eliáš, P. jun. 2003: *Camelina microcarpa* L. in Slovakia. Acta fytotechnica et zootechnica 6(3): 57-61. ISSN 1335-9245.
- Eliáš, P. jun. 2002: *Camelina* Crantz. In: K. Goliasová, H. Šípošová (eds.): Flóra Slovenska V/4. Veda. Bratislava. 566-588. ISBN 80-224-0710-0.
- Eliáš, P. jun., Hajnalová, M., Pažinová, N. 2007: Floristic composition of *Triticum monococcum* fields in Transylvania (Romania): preliminary results. In: Brindza, J. (ed.): Traditional Agroecosystems '05, 1st International Conference and Satellite Workshops. Slovak University of Agriculture. Nitra. 127-131. ISBN 978-80-8069-937.
- Ellenberg, H. 1950: Landwirtschaftliche Pflanzensoziologie I: Unkrautgemeinschaften als Zeiger für Klima und Boden, Stuttgart: Eugen Ulmer. 141 s. Bez ISBN.
- Ellenberg, H. 1979: Zeigerwerte der Gefäßpflanzen Mitteleuropas. Scripta geobotanica 9. Goltze, Göttingen, 1-122. ISBN 13: 978-3884525098.
- Ellenberg, H. 1988: Vegetation Ecology of Central Europe. Cambridge. Cambridge University Press. 753 s. ISBN 0521236428.
- Elschek, K., Varsik, V. 2001: Záchranný výskum v Lozorne. AVANS 2000: 50-60. ISBN 80-88709-50-4.
- Erné, M., Dobeš, M., Hlaváč, J., Kočár, P., Kyselý, R., Šída, P. 2007: Zhloubená chata ze středního eneolitu v Praze 9 – Miškovcích. Památky archeologické XCVIII: 31-108. ISSN 0031-0506.
- Eviatar, N., Guoxiong, Ch. 2010: Drought and salt tolerances in wild relatives for wheat and barley improvement. Plant, Cell & Environment. Special Issue on Drought and Salinity Stress 33(4): 670-685. DOI: 10. 1111/j. 1365-3040. 2009. 02107. x.
- Feinman, G. M., Price, T. D. 2010: Pathways to Power. New Perspectives on the Emergence of Social Inequality. Springer. New York. ISBN 1441962999.
- Fenton, A. 1983: Grain storage in pits: experiment and fact. In: A. O'Connor, D. V. Clarke (eds.): From the Stone Age to the 'fortyfive'. John Donald. Edinburgh. 567-588. ISBN nezistené.
- Fietz, A. 1936: Prähistorische Pflanzenreste aus der Slowakei. Verhandlungen des Naturforschenden Vereines in Brünn. 67 Band für des Jahr 1935, Brno, 1936, 149-151. ISBN nezistené.
- Fiorentino, G., Ulas, B. 2010: A recent attestation of new glume wheat type: Biometrical and morphological studies of forks from the enolithic levels in Mersin-Yumuktepe (Turkey). Poster presented at 13th IWGP in Willemshaven. http://archaeobotany.org/download/posters/ulas_poster_whv2010.pdf. Accessed online 13. 1. 2010.
- Firbas, F. 1949: Spät- und nacheiszeitliche Waldgeschichte Mitteleuropas nördlich der Alpen. Erster Band: Allgemeine Waldgeschichte. Gustav Fischer Verlag. Jena. Bez ISBN.
- Firbas, F. 1952: Spät- und nacheiszeitliche Waldgeschichte Mitteleuropas nördlich der Alpen. Zweiter Band: Waldgeschichte der einzelnen Landschaften. Gustav Fischer Verlag. Jena. Bez ISBN.
- Fleming, M. P., Clarke, R. C. 1998: Physical evidence for the antiquity of *Cannabis sativa* L. Journal of the International Hemp Association 5: 280-293. ISSN 1381-091X.
- Fuller, D. Q. 2007: Contrasting Patterns in Crop Domestication and Domestication Rates: Recent Archaeobotanical Insights from the Old World. Annals of Botany 100(5): 903-924. DOI: 10. 1093/aob/mcm048.
- Fuller, D. Q., Harvey, E. L. 2006: The archaeobotany of Indian Pulses: identification, processing and evidence for cultivation. Environmental Archaeology 11(2): 219-246. ISSN 1461-4103.
- Fuller, D. Q., Stevens, J. C. 2009: Agriculture and the Development of Complex Societies: An Archaeobotanical Agenda. In: A. Fairbairn, et E. Weiss (eds.): Ethnobotanist of distant pasts: Archaeological and ethnobotanical studies in honour of Gordon Hillman. Oxbow, Oxford. ISBN nezistené.
- Furmánek, V. 1983: Hradiská pilinskej a kyjatickej kultúry na Slovensku. Archeol. Rozhl. 35: 24-32. Bez ISSN.
- Furmánek, V. 1990: Radzovce. Osada ľudu popolnicových polí. Bratislava. 102 s. ISBN 80-224-0094-7.
- Furmánek, V. 2010: Radzovce: osada ľudu popolnicových polí. Archeologické pamätníky Slovenska. Nitra. 123 s. ISBN 978-80-89315-27-71.
- Furmánek, V. Marková, K. 1993: Záverečná sezóna systematického výskumu vo Včelinciach. AVANS 1992: 38-39. ISBN 80-88709-09-1.
- Furmánek, V. Marková, K. 1999: Osobitosti sídliska telového typu vo Včelinciach. Slov. Archeol. XLVI-2 1998: 205-224. ISSN 1335-0102.
- Furmánek, V., Marková, K. 2008: Včelince. Archív dávnej minulosti. AÚ SAV Nitra. 135 s. ISBN 978-80-89315-09-3.
- Furmánek, V., Mitaš, V. 2010: Pohrebisko kyjatickej kultúry v Cinobani, okr. Poltár: (predbežná správa). In: V. Furmánek, E. Mirošayová (eds.): Popolnicové polia a doba halštatská: zborník referátov z X. medzinárodnej konferencie „Popolnicové polia a doba halštatská“ Košice, 16. – 19. september 2008. Archeologický ústav SAV. Nitra. 101-116. ISBN 978-80-89315-26-0.
- Furmánek, V., Mitaš, V. 2010: Pohřební ritus západní enklávy jihovýchodních popelnicových polí. Analýza pohřebiště v Radzovcích (Slovensko). Památky Archeologické 101: 39-110. ISSN: 0031-0506.
- Furmánek, V., Novotná, M. 2006: Die Sichel in der Slowakei. PBF Abteilung XVIII, 6. Band, Stuttgart. 121 s. ISBN 10: 3-515-08981-0, ISBN 13: 978-3-515-08981-4.
- Furmánek, V., Veliačik, L. 1980: Doba bronzová. Slov. Archeol. XXVII(1): 159-180. Bez ISBN.
- Furmánek, V., Veliačik, L., Romsauer, P. 1982: Jungbronzezeitliche befestigte Siedlungen in der Slowakei. In: Beiträge zum bronzezeitliche Burgenbau in Mitteleuropa. Berlin-Nitra. 1982. ISBN nezistené.
- Furmánek, V., Veliačik, L., Vladár, J. 1991: Slovensko v dobe bronzovej. Veda. Bratislava. 406 s. ISBN 80-224-0350-4.

- Furmánek, V., Veliačik, L., Vladár, J. 1999: Die Bronzezeit im slowakischen Raum. Rahden/Westf. Leidorf. *Prähistorische Archäologie in Südosteuropa* 15. 206 s. ISBN 3-89646-502-3.
- Furmánek, V., Vladár, J. 1983: Opevnené osady doby bronzovej na Slovensku. *Archeol. Rozhl.* 35: 3-13. Bez ISSN.
- Garfinkel, Y., Kislev, M. E., Zohary, D. 1988: Lentil in the Pre-Pottery Neolithic B Yiftah'el: additional evidence of its early domestication. *Israel Journal of Botany* 37: 49-51. ISSN 0021-213X.
- Gašaj, D. 1983: Výsledky záchranného výskumu opevnenej osady otomanskej kultúry v Rozhanovciach. *Archeol. Rozhl.* 35: 130-137.
- Gašaj, D., Olexa, L. 1995: Sedemnásť etapa výskumu v Nižnej Myšli. *AVANS* 1993: 46-47. ISBN 80-88709-16-4.
- Gauch, H. G. 1984: *Multivariate Analyses in Community Ecology*. Cambridge University Press. 312 s. Cambridge. ISBN 10: 0521282403.
- Giertlová, M. 2000: Ukončenie predstihového výskumu na lokalite Spišský Štvrtok – Ku Čenčiciam. *AVANS* 1999: 38-40. ISBN 80-88709-49-0.
- Giertlová, M. 2001: Záchranný výskum vo Veľkej Lomnici. *AVANS* 2000: 72-75. ISBN 80-88709-50-4.
- Giertlová, M. 2003: Výskum v trase diaľnice v Spišskom Štvrtku – Ku Čenčiciam. In: E. Miroššayová (ed.) *Východoslovenský Pravek VI*, Nitra/Košice. 87-118. ISBN 80-88709-64-4.
- Gluz, I. 1977: Remains of the genus *Bromus* from a neolithic site in Krakow. *Acta Paleobotanica* XVIII (2): 17-34. ISSN 0001-6594.
- Gokbayrak, Z., Soylemezoglu, G. 2010: Grapevine throughout the History of Anatolia. *International Journal of Botany*, 6: 465-472. DOI: 10.3923/ijb.2010.465.472.
- Görsdorf, J., Marková, K., Furmánek, V. 2004: Some new ¹⁴C data to the Bronze Age in the Slovakia. 79-91. *Geochronometria. Journal on Methods and Applications of Absolute Chronology*, vol 23. <http://www.geochronometria.pl/pdf/geo-23.html>. Accessed online 12. 4. 2011.
- Grime, J. P., Hodgson, J. G., Hunt, R. 1990: *Comparative Plant Ecology*. Chapman and Hall. London. 403 s. ISBN 0 412 53250 6.
- Gyulai, F. 1996: Umwelt und Pflanzenbau in Transdanubien während der Urnenfelder-, Hallstatt- und Latènekultur. In: E. Jerem, A. Lippert (eds.): *Die Osthallstattkultur. Akten des Internationalen Symposiums, Sopron, 10.-14. Mai 1994*. Budapest, 127-136. ISBN nezistené.
- Gyulai, F. 2007: Seed and fruit remains associated with neolithic origins in the Carpathian basin. In: S. Colledge, J. Conolly (eds.): *The Origins and Spread of Domestic Plants in Southwest Asia and Europe*. 1 ed. Walnut Creek, CA, Left Coast Press. 125-139. ISBN 978-1-59874-988-5.
- Gyulai, F. 2010: Archaeobotany in Hungary. Seed, fruit, food and beverage remains in the Carpathian basin from the Neolithic to the Late Middle Ages. Budapest, *Archeolingua*. ISBN 978 963 8046 93 2.
- Hájek, L. 1953: Vzácný nález z doby bronzové v Barci u Košic. *Archeol. Rozhl.* 5: 319-322. Bez ISSN.
- Hájek, L. 1954: Zlatý poklad v Barci u Košic. *Archeol. Rozhl.* 6: 584-587. Bez ISSN.
- Hájek, L. 1957: Hliněné lidské plastiky z doby bronzové v Barci u Košic. *Slov. Archeol.* 5: 323-338. Bez ISSN.
- Hájek, L. 1961: Zur relativen Chronologie des Äneolithikums und der Bronzezeit in der Ostslowakei. In: Kommission für das Äneolithikum und ältere Bronzezeit. Nitra 1958. Bratislava. 59-76. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1976: Prehľad botanických nálezov z výskumov archeologického ústavu SAV v roku 1975. *AVANS* 1975: 96. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1977: Prehľad botanických nálezov na archeologických výskumoch posúdených v roku 1976. *AVANS* 1976: 109-114. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1978a: Prehľad nálezov a analýz makrozvyškov rastlín z archeologických výskumov. *AVANS* 1977: 79. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1978b: Nálezy rastlín na pohrebiskách v praveku a vo včasnohistorickom období Slovenska a ich úloha v pohrebnom rite. *Archeol. Rozhl.* XXX: 123-132. Bez ISSN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1980a: Niektoré nové paleobotanické nálezy zo sídlisk. *AVANS* 1979: 79-80. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1980b: Nálezy a analýza rastlinných makrozvyškov z archeologických výskumov. *AVANS* 1978: 95-107. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1986: Holzkohlenstücken aus dem Gräberfeld in Krásna Ves. In: Budinský-Krička, V., L. Veliačik (eds.): *Krásna Ves, Gräberfeld der Lausitzer Kultur*. Nitra. 137-138. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1989a: Zuholnaté dreviny z archeologickej lokality Bučany. In: Buková, Zborník odborných prác VI západoslovenského TOP-u. Zväzok V. Krajský ústav štátnej pamiatkovej starostlivosti a ochrany prírody. Bratislava. 35-41. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1989b: A corn pith infilled with carbonised spikes from Hoste. In: E. Hajnalová (ed.): *Palaeoethnobotany and Archaeology. International Work-Group for Palaeoethnobotany. 8th symposium, Nitra-Nové Vozokany. Acta Interdisciplinaria Archaeologica* 11. Archeologický ústav SAV, Nitra. 119-123. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1989c: A clay loaf with glumes from Nižná Myšľa. In: E. Hajnalová (ed.): *Palaeoethnobotany and Archaeology. International Work-Group for Palaeoethnobotany. 8th symposium, Nitra-Nové Vozokany. Acta Interdisciplinaria Archaeologica* 11. Archeologický ústav SAV. Nitra. 125-126. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1989d: Súčasný stav paleobotanického výskumu na Slovensku. *Archeol. Rozhl.* XLI: 182-192. Bez ISSN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1989e: Súčasný poznatky z archeobotaniky na Slovensku. *Acta Interdisciplinaria Archaeologica* 6. Archeologický ústav SAV. Nitra. 216 s. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1990a: Podiel výroby a ostatnej činnosti človeka na Sitne v minulosti na zmene životného prostredia na základe paleobotanických poznatkov. *Štud. Zvesti* 26: 105-110. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1990b: Antraktomické rozbory z archeologických výskumov na Trnavskej pahorkatine a v údolí Váhu. *Štud. Zvesti* 26: 223-236. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, E. 1993: Obilie v archeobotanických nálezoch na Slovensku. *Acta Interdisciplinaria Archaeologica* 8. Archeologický ústav SAV. Nitra. 147 s. ISBN 80-88709-02-4.

- Hajnalová, E. 1994: Katalóg archeobotanických nálezov z lokalít na západnom Slovensku z mladšej a neskej doby bronzovej a z doby halštatskej. In: L. Velíšek, P. Romsauer (eds.): Vývoj a vzťah osídlenia lužických a stredodunajských popolnicových polí na západnom Slovensku I. Katalóg. Archeologický ústav SAV, Nitra. 258-264. ISBN 80-88709-15-6.
- Hajnalová, E. 1996a: Archeobotanické a archeologické pramene k rekonštrukcii lesnej vegetácie v Popradskej kotline. Slov. Archeol. XLIV (2): 265-286. ISSN 1335-0102.
- Hajnalová, E. 1996b: Archeobotanické nálezy z Nižnej Myšle. Štud. Zvesti 32: 131-139. ISBN 80-88709-30-X.
- Hajnalová, E. 1999: Stavebné drevo na hradisku lužickej kultúry v Zemianskom Podhradí. Slov. Archeol. XLVI (2): 253-261. ISSN 1335-0102.
- Hajnalová, E. 2001: Ovocie a ovocinárstvo v archeobotanických nálezoch na Slovensku. In: Acta Interdisciplinaria Archaeologica X. Archeologický ústav SAV, Nitra 2001. 132 s. ISBN 80-88709-38-5.
- Hajnalová, E., Furmánek, V., Marková, K. 1999: Eichel aus dem Objekt 21/86 aus der Siedlung in Včelince. In: J. Batora, J. Peška (eds.): Aktuelle Probleme der Erforschung der Frühbronzezeit in Böhmen und Mähren und in der Slowakei. Archaeologica Slovaca Monographiae – Communicationes, Tomus I. Archeologický ústav SAV, Nitra. 231-239. ISBN 80-88709-40-7.
- Hajnalová, E., Hajnalová, M. 1998: Preplavovanie – Metóda získavania rastlinných makrozvyškov z archeologických objektov a vrstiev. Informátor Slovenskej Archeologickej Spoločnosti pri SAV VIII/1: 6-7. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová E., Hajnalová, M. 2004: Zbierané rastliny ako zdroj potravy v praveku strednej Európy a ich archeobotanické nálezy na Slovensku. In: Otázky neolitu a eneolitu našich zemí, Acta archaeologica Opaviensia, Opava. 33-47. ISBN 80-7248-2320-0.
- Hajnalová, E., Hajnalová, M., Mihályiová, J. 2003: Archeobotanické nálezy zo starších archeologických výskumov. AVANS 2002: 47-50. ISBN 80-88709-73-3.
- Hajnalová, E., Hunková, E., Mihályiová, J. 1997: Archeobotanické poznatky z Nitry. AVANS 1995: 57-62. ISBN 80-88709-42-3.
- Hajnalová, E., Mihályiová, J. 1996: Archeobotanické nálezy v r. 1994. AVANS 1994: 63-76. ISBN 80-88709-27-X.
- Hajnalová, E., Mihályiová, J. 1997: Archeobotanické nálezy v r. 1995. AVANS 1995: 62-69. ISBN 80-88709-33-4.
- Hajnalová, E., Mihályiová, J. 1998: Archeobotanické nálezy v r. 1996. AVANS 1996: 61-67. ISBN 80-88709-36-9.
- Hajnalová, E., Mihályiová, J. 2000a: Archeobotanické nálezy v roku 1998, AVANS 1998: 72-79. ISBN 80-88709-44-X.
- Hajnalová, E., Mihályiová, J. 2000b: Archeobotanické nálezy v r. 1999. AVANS 1999: 40-46. ISBN 80-88709-49-0.
- Hajnalová, E., Mihályiová, J. 2001: Archeobotanické nálezy v r. 2000. AVANS 2000: 77-81. ISBN 80-88709-50-4.
- Hajnalová, E., Mihályiová, J., Hajnalová, M. 2006: Archeobotanické poznatky za rok 2004. AVANS 2004: 87-92. ISBN 80-88709-92-X.
- Hajnalová, E., Mihályiová, J., Hajnalová, M. 2007: Nové archeobotanické poznatky. AVANS 2005: 77-83. ISBN 978-80-88709-99-2.
- Hajnalová, E., Poláček, Š. 1999: Vyhodnotenie vrstvy semien na základe archeobotanických a štatistických údajov na lokalite Zemianske Podhradie, poloha Hradišťa. In: Štud. Zvesti 33: 161-192. ISBN 80-88709-39-3.
- Hajnalová, M. 2000: Osada a životné prostredie severných Karpát na počiatku doby dejinnej. Prírodovedecká Fakulta UK, Bratislava. Nепublikovaná PhD. dizertačná práca. 94 s. Bez ISBN.
- Hajnalová, M. 2002: Rastlinné makrozvyšky analyzované v roku 2001. AVANS 2001: 55-58. ISBN 80-88709-59-8.
- Hajnalová, M. 2003a: Nález jačmenných krúp z devínskeho hradiska: zvyšok zásoby obilia alebo pripravené jedlo (kaša) ľudí mladšej až neskej doby bronzovej? Múzeum 1: 5-6. ISSN 0027-5263.
- Hajnalová, M. 2003b: Rekonštrukcia krajiny v zázemí sídliska pilinskej kultúry v Spišskom Štvrtku–ku Čenčiciam. Východoslovenský pravek VI. Archeologický ústav SAV, Nitra/Košice. 119-123. ISBN 80-88709-64-4.
- Hajnalová, M. 2007: Early farming in Slovakia: an archaeobotanical perspective. In: S. Colledge, J. Conolly (eds.): The Origins and Spread of Domestic Plants in Southwest Asia and Europe. 1 ed. Walnut Creek, CA, Left Coast Press. 295-314. ISBN 978-1-59874-988-5.
- Hajnalová, M., Danielisová, A. in prep.: The subsistence strategies of the late La Tène settlements in central Europe.
- Hajnalová, M., Dreslerová, D. 2010: Ethnobotany of einkorn and emmer in Romania and Slovakia: towards interpretation of archaeological evidence. Památky Archeologické 101: 169-202. ISSN 0031-0506.
- Hajnalová, M., Hajnalová, E. 2000: Reconstruction of Riss – Würm vegetation in Hôrka – Ondrej region, based on plant imprints and charcoals recovered from geological layers of the local travertine mound. In: Kaminská et al. Hôrka – Ondrej. Research of a Middle Palaeolithic Travertine Locality. Archaeologica Slovaca Monographiae. Fontes, Tomus XVII. 159-172. ISBN 80-88709-47-4.
- Hajnalová, M., Hajnalová, E. 2005: Lesné dreviny na pahorkatinách JZ Slovenska počas klimatických fáz atlantik, epiatlantik a subbo-reál. Štud. Zvesti 37: 85-113. ISBN 80-88709-80-6.
- Hajnalová, M., Katkinová, J. 2002: Ilava-Porubská dolina, lužické žiarové pohrebisko: archeobotanická analýza výplne vybraných nádob. Štud. Zvesti. 35: 19-26. ISBN 80-88709-56-3.
- Hajnalová, M., Kočár, P. in prep.: Rastlinné makrozbytky z doby laténskej v Čechách a na Moravě.
- Hajnalová, M., Mihályiová, J. 2002: Menšie súbory rastlinných makrozvyškov analyzovaných v roku 2001. AVANS 2001: 59-61. ISBN 80-88709-59-8.
- Hajnalová, M., Varsík, V. 2010: Kvádske roľníctvo na Slovensku z pohľadu archeológie a archeobotaniky. In: G. Březinová, J. Beljak, V. Varsík (eds.): Archeológia Barbarov 2009. Archaeologica Slovaca Monographiae – Communicationes, Tomus X. 181-224. ISBN 978-80-89315-24-6.
- Halstead, P. 1989: The economy has a normal surplus: economic stability and social change among early farming communities of Thessaly, Greece. In: P. Halstead, J. O'Shea (eds.): Bad Year Economics: cultural responses to risk and uncertainty. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. 68-80. ISBN-13: 978-0521611923.
- Halstead, P. 1990: Waste not, want not: traditional responses to crop failure in Greece. Rural History 1: 147-164. ISSN 0956-7933.
- Halstead, P. 1995: Plough and power: the economic and social significance of cultivation with the ox-drawn ard in the Mediterranean. Bulletin on Sumerian Agriculture 8: 11-22. ISSN 0267-0658.

- Haluza, I.: 2007: Slovensko si vie poradiť aj so suchom. Pre Slovensko nemusia byť klimatické zmeny problém, ale impulz. <http://ekonomika.etrend.sk/ekonomika-slovensko/slovensko-si-vie-poradit-so-suchom.html>. Accessed online 1. 2. 2011.
- Hanuliak, M., Zábojník, J. 1987: Záchraný výskum v Bučanoch. AVANS 1986: 45, 46. Bez ISBN.
- Harding, A., F. 2000: European Societies in the Bronze Age. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. 552 s. ISBN 0-521-36729-8.
- Harding, A., Šumberová, R., Knüssel, Ch., Outram, A. 2007: Violence and death in Bronze Age Bohemia. Archeologický ústav AVČR, Praha. ISBN 978-80-86124-12-4.
- Hásek, I. 1984: Obydlí a sídliská v oblasti únětické kultury, Časopis Národního muzea. Řada historická CLIII: 1-27. ISSN 1214-0627.
- Hastorf, C. A. 1993: Agriculture and the onset of political inequality before the Inka. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. ISBN nezistené.
- Higgins, P., McFadden, B. 2009: Seasonal and geographic climate variabilities during the Last Glacial Maximum in North America: Applying isotopic analysis and macrophysical climate models. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 283 (1-2): 15-27. Doi: 10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.08.015.
- Hill, J. D. 1995: Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: a study in the formation of a specific archaeological record. British Archaeological Reports, British Series 242. Oxford. ISBN nezistené.
- Hillman, G. C. 1981: Reconstructing crop husbandry practices from charred remains of crops. In: R. Mercer (ed.): Farming Practice in British Prehistory. Edinburgh., Edinburgh University Press. 123-162. ISBN nezistené.
- Hillman, G. C. 1984: Interpretation of archaeological plant remains: The application of ethnographic models from Turkey. In: W. Van Zeist, W. A. Casparie (eds.): Plants and Ancient Man – Studies in Paleoethnobotany. Rotterdam, A. A. Balkema. 1-41. ISBN 9061915287.
- Hillman, G. C., Mason, S., de Moulin, D., Nesbitt, M. 1996: Identification criteria of archaeological remains of wheat: the 1992 London workshop. Circea 12/2, 159-210. ISBN nezistené.
- Hirth, K. 1993: Identifying Rank and Socioeconomic Status in Domestic Contexts: An Example from Central Mexico. In: R. S. Santley, K. G. Hirth (eds.): Prehispanic Domestic Units in Western Mesoamerica. Boca Raton, CRC Press. 249-273. ISBN nezistené.
- Hnízdová, I. 1953: Osady a chaty únětického lidu v Čechách. Archeologické rozhledy 5. 380-392. ISSN 0323-1267.
- Hopf, M. 1961: Pflanzenfunde aus Lerna/Argolis. Der Züchter 31, 239-247. ISSN 0040-5752.
- Hopf, M. 1991: South and Southwest Europe. In: W. Van Zeist, K. Wasylikowa, K. E. Behre (eds.): Progress in the Old World Palaeoethnobotany. Balkema, Rotterdam, 241-277. ISBN 90 6191 881 2.
- Horňák, M. 2005: Pohrebisko lužickej kultúry v Sklabinskom Podzámkú. Slov. Archeol. 53(2): 203-218. ISSN 1335-0102.
- Horňák, M. 2009: Analize Geografskih Informacijskih Sistemov Poselitve Lužiske Kulture v Zahodnem Delu Zahodnih Karpátov. Univerza v Ljubljani, Filozofska fakulteta. Oddelek za arheologijo. Nepublikovaný rukopis PhD. dizertačnej práce. 179 s. Bez ISBN.
- Houska, J. 2007: *Prunus spinosa* L. – slivoň trnitá (trnka) / slivka trnková. <http://botany.cz/cs/prunus-spinosa/> Accessed online 13. 1. 2010.
- Hrvoľ, J., Horecká, V., Škvarenina, J., Sťahelcová, K., Škvareninová, J. 2009: Long-term results of evapotranspiration rate in xerothermic oak altitudinal vegetation stage in Southern Slovakia. Biologia 63(3): 605-609. DOI 10.2478/s11756-009-0091-x.
- Hunt, H. V., Bower, M. A., Howe, CH. J., Jones, M. K. 2010: Diversity and domestication in the genus *Panicum* (Poaceae). Online: <http://www.mcdonald.cam.ac.uk/projects/genetics/members/harriethunt/harriethdownloads/harriethunt-LinnSoc-poster.ppt> Accessed online 9. 1. 2011.
- Hunt, H. V., Denyer, K., Packman, L. C., Jones, M. K., Howe, C. J. 2010: Molecular basis of the waxy endosperm starch phenotype in broomcorn millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.). Molecular Biology and Evolution 27: 1478-1494. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msq040.
- Charles, M., Bogaard, A., Jones, G., Hodgson, J., Halstead, P. 2002: Towards the archaeobotanical identification of intensive cereal cultivation: present-day ecological investigation in the mountains of Asturias, northwest Spain. Vegetation History and Archaeobotany 11: 133-142. ISSN 0939-6314.
- Cheben, I. 1999: Starobronzové sídlisko a pohrebisko v Pate. AVANS 1997: 66-67. ISBN 80-88709-42-3.
- Cheben, I., Hajnalová, E. 1997: Neolitische und äneolitische Offen in der Slowakei aus der Sicht des Archäologen und Archäobotanikers. Archaeologia Austriaca 81. 41-52. ISSN 0003-8008.
- Cheben, I., Ruttkay, M., Ruttkayová, J. 1993: Záchrané výskumy na trase ropovodu v okrese Nitra. AVANS 1992: 61-62. ISBN 80-88709-09-1.
- Chropovský, B. 1958: Birituálne maďarovské pohrebisko v Majcichove na Slovensku. Archeol. Rozhl. X: 488-492, 499-501, 509-510. Bez ISBN.
- Chropovský, B. 1960: Pohrebisko zo staršej doby bronzovej vo Veľkom Grobe. In: B. Chropovský, M. Dušek, B. Polla (eds.): Pohrebiská zo staršej doby bronzovej na Slovensku. Bratislava. 95-136. Bez ISBN.
- Chropovský, B., Hečková, J., Fusek, G. 1987: Ukončenie záchranného výskumu v Nitre na Šindolke. AVANS 1986: 52, 53. Bez ISBN.
- Ižof, J. 1984: Záchrané výskumy múzea v Galante. AVANS 1983: 93, 94. Bez ISBN.
- Jacomot, S. 2006: Identification of cereal remains from archaeological sites (2nd edition). http://ipna.unibas.ch/archbot/pdf/Cereal_Id_Manual_engl.pdf Accessed 12. 12. 2006. Bez ISBN.
- Jacomot, S. 1987: Prähistorische Getreidefunde. Eine Anleitung zur Bestimmung prähistorischer Gersten- und Weizenfunde. Basel: Botanisches Institut der Universität, Abteilung Pflanzensystematik und Geobotanik (Eigenverlag). Bez ISBN.
- Jacomot, S., Brombacher, C., Dick, M. 1989: Archäobotanik am Zürichsee. Ackerbau, Sammelwirtschaft und Umwelt von neolitischen und bronzezeitlichen Seeufersiedlungen im Raum Zürich. Berichte der Zürcher Denkmalpflege, Monographien 7. Kommissionsverlag: Orell Fussli Verlag, Zurich. 348 s. ISBN 3 280 01981 8.

- Jacomert, S., Karg, S. 1996: Ackerbau und Umwelt der Seeufersiedlungen von Zug-Sumpf im Rahmen der mitteleuropäischen Spätbronzezeit – Ergebnisse archäobotanischer Untersuchungen. In: Die Spätbronzezeitlichen Ufersiedlungen von Zug-Sumpf, Bd. 1 – Die Dorfgeschichte, Kantonales Museum für Urgeschichte Zug. 198-303. ISBN-10: 3952009865.
- James, T. G. H. 1995: The earliest evidence of wine and its importance in ancient Egypt. In: P. T. McGovern, S. J. Flemming, S. H. Katz (eds.): *Origins and ancient history of wine*. Gordon and Breach Luxembourg. 197-213. ISBN-13: 978-9056995522.
- Jamrichová, E. 2009: Vývoj a rekonštrukcia neskoroglaciálnej a holocéennej vegetácie Popradskej kotliny podľa palynologickej analýzy rašeliniska v Spišskej Teplici. Nепublikovaná rigorózná práca, Univerzita Konštatína Filozofa, Nitra. 155 s. Bez ISBN.
- Jankovská, V. 1991: Vývoj vegetačného krytu podtatranských kotlín od konce doby ledovej do súčasnosti. In: Zborník prác o Tatranskom národnom parku 31: 73-84. Tat. Lomnica. ISBN nezistené.
- Jankovská, V. 2003: Vegetační poměry Slovenska a Českých zemí v posledním glaciálu jako přírodního prostředí člověka a fauny. In: V. Hašek et al. (eds.): *Ve službách archeologie IV. Archeologický ústav SAV Nitra. Muzejní a vlastivědná společnost Brno*. 186-201. ISBN 80-72575-041-0.
- Januševič, G. 1984: The specific composition of wheat finds from ancient agricultural centres in the USSR. In: W. van Zeist, W. A. Casparie. (eds.): *Plants and Ancient Man. Studies in Palaeoethnobotany: Proceedings of the Sixth Symposium of the International Work Group for Palaeoethnobotany, Groningen, 30 May-3 June 1983*. A. A. Balkema. Rotterdam. 267-276. ISBN 9061915287.
- Januševič, Z. V. 1986: Kulturnyje Rastenija Severnogo Pričernomorja. Paleobotaničeskie Issledovanija. Kišinev, Moldova. ISBN nezistené.
- Jenčová, M. 1993: Obnovenie výskumu v Sedliskách-Podčičve. AVANS 1992: 66-67. ISBN 80-88709-09-1.
- Jones G. 1992: Weed phytosociology and crop husbandry: identifying a contrast between ancient and modern practice. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 73: 133-43. ISBN nezistené.
- Jones, G. 1983: The use of ethnographic and ecological models in the interpretation of archaeological plant remains: case studies from Greece. Nепublikovaná PhD dizertačná práca. University of Cambridge. Bez ISBN.
- Jones, G. 1987: A statistical approach to the archaeological identification of crop processing. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 14: 311-323. ISSN 0305-4403.
- Jones, G. 1990: The application of present-day cereal processing studies to charred archaeobotanical remains. *Circaea* 6 (2): 91-96. ISSN 0268-425X.
- Jones, G., Halstead, P. 1995: Maslins, Mixtures and Monocrops: on the Interpretation of Archaeobotanical Crop Samples of Heterogeneous Composition. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 22: 103-114. ISBN nezistené.
- Jones, G., Valamoti, S., Charles, M. 2000: Early crop diversity: A “new” glume wheat from northern Greece. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 9(3): 133-146. DOI 10. 1007/BF01299798.
- Jones, M. 1984: The ecological and cultural implications of carbonised seed assemblages from selected archaeological contexts in southern Britain. University of Oxford. Nепublikovaná PhD. dizertačná práca. University of Oxford. Bez ISBN.
- Jones, M. 1985: Archaeobotany beyond subsistence reconstruction. In: G. W. Barker, C. Gamble (eds.): *Beyond Domestication in Prehistoric Europe*. Academic Press. London. 107-128. ISBN nezistené.
- Jones, M. K. 1991: Sampling in palaeoethnobotany. In: W. Van Zeist, K. Wasylikowa, K. E. Behre: *Progress in the Old World Palaeoethnobotany*. Balkema, Rotterdam, 53-62. ISBN 90 6191 881 2.
- Jurko, A. 1990: Ekologické a socioekonomické hodnotenie vegetácie. Bratislava: Príroda, 1990. ISBN 80-07-00391-6.
- Kabát, J. 1955: Otomanská osada v Barci u Košic. *Archeol. Rozhl.* 7: 594-600. Bez ISSN.
- Kalicz, N. 1984: Die Hatvan Kultur. In: N. Tasić (ed.): *Kulturen der Frühbronzezeit das Karpatenbeckens und Nordbalkans*. Beograd 1984. 191-218. Bez ISBN.
- Kaminská, L., Ford, D. C., Hajnalová, E., Horáček, I., Kovanda, J., Ložek, V., Mlíkovský, J., Smolíková, L. 2000: Hôrka – Ondrej. Research of a Middle Palaeolithic Travertine Locality. *Archaeologica Slovaca Monographiae. Fontes, Tomus XVII*. 202 s. ISBN 80-88709-47-4.
- Kaminská, L. 2001: Záchranné výskumy v Košiciach. AVANS 2000: 96-97. ISBN 80-88709-50-4.
- Kaminská, L. 2007: Záchranný výskum pilinského sídliska v Košiciach. AVANS 2005: 99. ISBN 978-80-88709-99-2.
- Klecka, W. R. 1980: Discriminant analysis. Sage University Paper Series on Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences 07-019. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications. 47 s. ISBN 0-8039-1491.
- Klecka, A. 1934: Rostlinná produkce v našem pravěkém zemědělství. In: *Věstník ČZM*, 98-102. Bez ISSN.
- Klecka, A. 1935: Několik dalších nálezů předhistorického obilí. In: *Věstník ČZM*, 97-98. Bez ISSN.
- Kočár, P., Dreslerová, D. 2010: Archeobotanické nálezy pěstovaných rostlin v pravěku České republiky. *Památky archeologické* 101: 203-242. ISSN 0031-0506.
- Kohler-Schneider, M. 2001: Verkohlte Kultur- und Wildpflanzenreste aus Stillfried an der March. Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Wien. 226 s. ISBN 3-7001-2962-9.
- Kohler-Schneider, M., Caneppele, A. 2009: Late Neolithic agriculture in eastern Austria: archaeobotanical results from sites of the Baden (3600–2800 B. C.) and Jevišovice cultures. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 18: 61–74. ISSN 0939-6314.
- Konvalina, P., Moudrý, J. jr., Moudrý, J. 2008: Quality parameters of emmer wheat landraces. *Journal of Central European Agriculture* 9(3): 539-546. ISSN 1332-9049.
- Kopčeková, M. 2009: Osídlenie horného Požitia v pravku a stredoveku vo vzťahu k prírodným podmienkam. Nепublikovaná PhD dizertačná práca. Archeologický ústav SAV, Nitra. Bez ISBN.
- Körber-Grohne, U. 1987: Die Nutpflanzen in Deutschland. Kulturgeschichte und Biologie. Konrad Theiss Verlag. Stuttgart. 490 s. ISBN: 10- 3806211167.

- Körber-Grohne, U. 1991: Identification key for subfossil Gramineae fruits. Probleme der Küstenforschung im südlichen Nordseegebiet 18: 169-234. Bez ISBN.
- Kornilov, A. A. 1960: Proso. Moscow. Bez ISBN.
- Kovács, T. 1984: Füzesabony-Kultur. In: N. Tasić (ed.): Kulturen der Frühbronzezeit des Karpatenbeckens und Nordbalkans. Beograd. 235-256. Bez ISBN.
- Kreuz, A., Marinova, E., Schäfer, E., Wiethold, J. 2005: A comparison of early Neolithic crop and weed assemblages from the Linearbandkeramik and the Bulgarian Neolithic cultures: differences and similarities. Vegetation History and Archaeobotany 14(4): 237-258. DOI: 10. 1007/s00334-005-0080-0.
- Krippel, E. (nepublikované): Poznámky pri archeobotanickej analýze. Rodinná pozostalosť, v správe DrSc. Evy Hajnalovej.
- Krippel, E. 1986: Postglaciálny vývoj vegetácie Slovenska. VEDA, Bratislava. 312 s. Bez ISBN.
- Krippelová, T. 1974: Rozšírenie synantropných rastlín v Košickej kotline. Acta Inst. Bot. Acad. Sci. Slov. 2: 1-340. Bez ISBN.
- Krippelová, T. 1967: Vegetácia Žitného ostrova. Spoločenstvá pastvín a rekonštrukcia vegetácie. Biol. Práce 13(2): 1-112. Bez ISBN.
- Krippelová, T. 1972: Ruderálne spoločenstvá Malaciek. Biol. Práce 18(1): 1-115. Bez ISBN.
- Kristiansen, K. 2006: Cosmology, economy and long-term change in the Bronze Age of Northern Europe. In: K.-G. Sjögren (ed.): Ecology and Economy in Stone Age and Bronze Age Scania. Skånska spor – arkeologi längs Västkustnannan. Riksantikvarieämbetet. Stockholm. 171-218. ISBN 10 91-7209-445-1.
- Kristiansen, K. 2010: Decentralized Complexity: The Case of Bronze Age Northern Europe. In: G. M. Feinman et T. D. Price (eds.): Pathways to Power. New Perspectives on the Emergence of Social Inequality. Springer. New York. 169-192. ISBN 1441962999.
- Kriška, J.: Hierarchická a nehierarchická zhluková analýza. <http://www2.fkit.stuba.sk/~kapustik/ZS/Clanky0607/kriška/index.html>. Accessed online 27. 3. 2011.
- Kroll, H. 1991: Südosteuropa. In: W. Van Zeist, K. Wasylkowa, K.-E. Behre (eds.): Progress in Old World Palaeoethnobotany. Balkema, Rotterdam. 161-177. ISBN 9061915287.
- Kroll, H. 1992: Einkorn from Feudvar, Vojvodina, II. What is the difference between emmer-like two-seeded einkorn and emmer? Review of Palaeoethnobotany and Palynology, 73: 181-185. ISSN 0034-6667.
- Kudláček, J. 1953: Eneolitické a halštatské pamiatky z Tlmáč, okres Levice. Archeol. Rozhl. 5: 148-153, 179-180. Bez ISBN.
- Kühn, F. 1981: Rozbory nálezů polních plodin. In: Přehled výskumů 1979: 75-79. Bez ISBN.
- Kühn, F. 1988: Semena plevelů. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae. Facultas agronomica XXXVI(1): 3-19. Bez ISBN.
- Kunkovics, L. 2000: Ősépítmények – népi építészetiünk archaeikus rétege. Kós Károly Alapítvány. Örökség Könyvműhely. ISBN 963 00 4765 9.
- Kunz, L. 2007: Obilní jámy. Konzervace obilí na dlouhý čas v historické zóně eurosibiřského a mediteránního rolnictví. Valašské Muzeum v přírodě v Rožnově pod Radhoštěm. Edice – Rolnictví na Moravě od baroka do II světové války. Rožnov pod Radhoštěm. 180 s. ISBN 80-239-2816-3.
- Kunzová, E., Hejčman, M. 2009: Yield development of winter wheat over 50 years of FYM, N, P and K fertilizer application on black earth soil in the Czech Republic. Field Crops Res. DOI 10. 1016/j. fcr. 2008. 12. 008.
- Kustár, R. 2000: Podobnosti a odlišnosti vývoja na území juhozápadného Slovenska a severného Zadunajska na počiatku mladšej doby bronzovej – rozšírenie čakanskej kultúry. Pravěk, Nová řada 10, 2000, 421-433. ISBN 80-86399-05-2.
- Kuzma, I., Rajtár, J., Tirpák, J. 1999: Výskum vo Veľkom Kýre. AVANS 1997: 99-102. ISBN 80-88709-42-3.
- Kytlicová, O. 1986: O sociální struktuře kultury popelnicových polí. Památky Archeologické 79: 342-389. Bez ISBN.
- Lamiová-Schmiedlová, M., Tomášová, B. 1988: Osada z doby bronzovej a hrnčiariska dielňa z doby rímskej v Ostrovanoch, okr. Prešov. In: Nové Obzory, 30: 77-96. Košice. ISSN 0546-8051.
- Lange, E. 1979: Verkohlte Pflanzenreste aus den slawischen Siedlungsplätzen Brandenburg und Zirzow (Kr. Neubrandenburg). Archäo-Physika 8: 191-207. Bez ISSN.
- Lapin, M., Faško, P., Melo, M., Šťastný, P., Tomlain, J. 2002: Klimatické oblasti. In: Atlas Krajiny Slovenskej republiky. Banská Štiavnica. s. 95. ISBN 80-88833-27-2.
- Lepš, J. 1992: Metody mnohorozměrné analýzy. Materiál k přednášce Modely v ekologii, Geobotanika, PFF UK, Praha. 165 s. ISBN nezistené.
- Li, H. L. 1974: An archaeological and historical account of *Cannabis* in China. Economic Botany 28(4): 437-448. Bez ISBN.
- Lityńska-Zajac, M. 2007: Early neolithic agriculture in south Poland as reconstructed from archaeobotanical plant remains In: S. Colledge, J. Conolly (eds.): The Origins and Spread of Domestic Plants in Southwest Asia and Europe. 1 ed. Walnut Creek, CA, Left Coast Press. 315-326. ISBN 978-1-59874-988-5.
- Ložek, V. 1980: Holocén. Slovenská Archeológia XXVIII(1): 107-118. Bez ISSN.
- Ložek, V. 1973: Příroda ve čtvrtohorách. Academia, Praha, 372 s. Bez ISBN.
- Lu, X., Clarke, R. C. 1995: The cultivation and use of hemp (*Cannabis sativa* L.) in ancient China. Journal of the International Hemp Associations, 2(1): 26-32. <http://www.hempfood.com/iha/iha02111.html>. Accessed online 2. 4. 2011.
- Magyari, E. K., Chapman, J. C., Passmore, D. G., Allen, J. R. M., Huntley, J. P., Huntley, B. 2010: Holocene persistence of wooded steppe in the Great Hungarian Plain. Journal of Biogeography 37: 915-935. ISSN 1365-2699.
- Maier, U. 1998: Der Nacktweizen aus den neolithischen Ufersiedlungen des nördlichen Alpenvorlandes und seine Bedeutung für unser Bild von der Neolithisierung Mitteleuropas. Archäologisches Korrespondenzblatt 28. 205-218. ISBN nezistené.
- Malček, R., Parobková, A. 2007: Záchranný výskum v Šahách. AVANS 2005: 138-139. ISBN 978-80-88709-99-2.
- Malthus, R. T. 1798: An Essay on the Principle of Population. J. Johnson. London. Bez ISBN.

- Mangerud, J., Andersen, S. T., Berglund, B. E. & Donner, J. J. 1974: Quaternary stratigraphy of Norden, a proposal for terminology and classification. *Boreas* 3, 109-128. Bez ISBN.
- Mangerud, J., Birks, H., Jäger, K.-D. 1982: Chronostratigraphical subdivisions of the Holocene: A review. *Striae* 16, 1-6. ISBN 91-7388 033 7.
- Marinova, E. 2006: Vergleichende paläoetnobotanische Untersuchung zur Vegetationsgeschichte und zur Entwicklung der prähistorischen Landnutzung in Bulgarien. *Dissertationes Botanicae* 401. Berlin-Stuttgart. 164 s. ISBN 3-443-64314-0.
- Marinova, E. 2007: Archaeobotanical data from the early Neolithic Bulgaria. In: S. Colledge, J. Conolly (eds.): *The Origins and Spread of Domestic Plants in Southwest Asia and Europe*. 1 ed. Walnut Creek, CA, Left Coast Press. 93-109. ISBN 978-1-59874-988-5.
- Marková, K., Elschek, K. 2002: Keramika z dendrochronologicky datovanej studne v Malackách. *Štud. Zvesti* 35: 213-220. ISBN 80-88709-56-3.
- Marx, K. 1964: *Pre-Capitalist Economic Formations (Grundrisse der Kritik der Politischen Ökonomie 1857-58, preklad. J. Cohen)*. Lawrence and Wishart. London. Bez ISBN.
- Matus, G., Papp, M. & Tóthmérész, B. 2005: Impact of management on vegetation dynamics and seed bank formation of inland dune grassland in Hungary. *Flora – Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants*, 2005, 296-306. DOI 10. 1016/j. flora. 2004. 12. 002. Accessed online 12. 4. 2011.
- McGovern, P. T. 1998: Wine for eternity. *Archaeology*. The University of Pennsylvania Museum, July-August: 28-32. Bez ISSN.
- Meier-Arendt, W. 1992: *Bronzezeit in Ungarn. Forschungen in Tellsiedlungen an Donau und Theiß*. Frankfurt. 216 s. ISBN 978-3-88270-323-8.
- Milankovitch, M. 1941: Canon on Insolation and the Ice Age Problem. Beograd: Zavod za Udzenbenike i Nastavna Sredstva. Bez ISBN.
- Miller, N. F. 1991: The Near East: In: W. Van Zeist, K. Wasylikowa, K.-E. Behre (eds.): *Progress in Old World Palaeoethnobotany*. Balkema. Rotterdam. 133-160. ISBN 9061915287
- Mirošayová, E. 1984: Výskum a prieskum mikroregiónu Zádielska Dolina. *AVANS* 1983: 153. Bez ISBN.
- Mirošayová, E. 1993: Pokračovanie výskumu na Čertovej Sihoti. *AVANS* 1992: 95-96. ISBN 80-88709-09-01.
- Monah, F. 2007: The spread of cultivated plants in the region between Carpathians and Dniester, 6th-4th millennia cal BC. In: S. Colledge, J. Conolly (eds.): *The Origins and Spread of Domestic Plants in Southwest Asia and Europe*. 1 ed. Walnut Creek, CA, Left Coast Press. 111-123. ISBN 978-1-59874-988-5.
- Moravcová, J. 2008: Žena, muž a dítě ve starší době bronzové. Případové studie na základě vybraných moravských pohřebišť. Bakalárska práca. Ústav Archeologie a Muzeologie Brno, FF MUNI. http://is.muni.cz/th/162485/ff_b/bakalarkaLisak.pdf Accessed online 1. 5. 2011.
- Moravčík, J. 1980: Sídliisko lužickej kultúry vo Varíne. *AVANS* 1979: 144. Bez ISBN.
- Moravčík, J. 1981: Výsledky zisťovacích a záchranných výskumov Považského múzea v Žiline. *AVANS* 1980: 183-184. Bez ISBN.
- Moravčík, J. 1990: Záchranný výskum sídliska lužickej kultúry v Púchove v časti Dolné Kočkovce. *AVANS* 1988: 113-114. Bez ISBN.
- Nesbitt, M., Samuel, D. 1996: From staple crop to extinction? The archaeology and history of the hulled wheats. In: Padulosi, S., Hammer, K., Heller, J. (eds.): *Hulled Wheats. Promoting the Conservation and Use of Underutilized and Neglected Crops*. IPGRI. Rome. 41-100. ISBN 9789290432883.
- Nešporová, T. 1969: K problematike hatvanskej kultúry na južnom Slovensku. *Slovenská Archeológia* 17(2): 369- 402. Bez ISBN.
- Novák, P. 1976: Záchranný výskum na hradisku v Prašníku. *AVANS* 1975: 164-165. Bez ISBN.
- Novák, P. 1980: Pokračovanie výskumu Hradište v Prašníku. *AVANS* 1979: 151-152. Bez ISBN.
- Novotná, M. 1970: *Die Bronzehortfunde in der Slowakei*. Bratislava: Vydavateľstvo SAV. 134 s. Bez ISBN.
- Novotná, M. 1986: Príspevok k poznaniu hatvanskej kultúry na Slovensku. *Archeol. Rozhl.* 38: 275-282. Bez ISBN.
- Novotná, M. 1993: Doba bronzová. In: T. Štefanovičová (ed.): *Najstaršie dejiny Bratislavy*. Elán. Bratislava. 80-115. ISBN 80-85331-07-1.
- Novotná, M. 2004: Militária lužickej kultúry na Slovensku. In: Popelnicová pole a doba halštatská. *Príspevky z VIII. Konferencie. České Budejovice 22.-24. 9. 2004. Archeologické výskumy v jižních Čechách – Supplementum 1. České Budejovice 2004*, 393-412, 503-522. ISBN 80-86260-37-2.
- Novotná, M., Novotný, B. 1984: Aunjitzer Kultur. In: N. Tasić (ed.): *Kulturen der Frühbronzezeit das Karpatenbeckens und Nordbalkans*. Beograd 1984. 307-314. Bez ISBN.
- Novotná, M., Novotný, B. 1984: Die Maďarovce-Kultur. In: N. Tasić (ed.): *Kulturen der Frühbronzezeit das Karpatenbeckens und Nordbalkans*. Beograd 1984. 323-340. Bez ISBN.
- Novotná, M., Novotný, B. 1984: Die Nitra-Kultur. In: N. Tasić (ed.): *Kulturen der Frühbronzezeit das Karpatenbeckens und Nordbalkans*. Beograd 1984. 299-306. Bez ISBN.
- Novotný, B., Kovalčík, R. 1967: Sídliisko zo staršej doby bronzovej pri Spišskom Štvrtku, okr. Spišská Nová Ves. *Musiaca* 18(7): 25-46. Bez ISSN.
- Ogbonnaya, F. C., Halloran, G. M., Lagudah, E. S. 2005: D genome of wheat – 60 years on from Kihara, Sears and McFadden. In: K. Tsunewaki (ed.): *Frontiers of Wheat Bioscience. Memorial Issue. Kihara Memorial Foundation. Yokohama, Japan*. 205-220. <http://www.shigen.nig.ac.jp/wheat/wis/No100/p205/p205.1.html>. Accessed online 1. 5. 2011.
- Olexa, L. 1980: Záchranný speleoarcheologický výskum v Jasove. *AVANS* 1978: 193-195. Bez ISBN.
- Olexa, L. 1987: Desiatá etapa výskumu v Nižnej Myšli. *AVANS* 1986: 82. Bez ISBN.
- Olexa, L. 1992: Náleziská z doby bronzovej v Nižnej Myšli. Predbežná správa o výsledkoch výskumu opevnených sídlisk a pohrebiska otomanskej kultúry. *Slov. Archeol.* XL(2): 189-204. Bez ISBN.

- Olexa, L. 1999: Dvadsať prvá etapa výskumu v Nižnej Myšli. AVANS 1997: 126-128. ISBN 80-88709-42-3.
- Olexa, L. 2003: Nižná Myšľa. Osada a pohrebisko z doby bronzovej. AÚ SAV Nitra. Košice. 134 s. ISBN 80-88709-66-0.
- Oliva, M. 2004: Flint mining, rondels, hillforts... Symbolic work or too much free time? *Archeol. Rozhl.* 56 (3): 499-531. ISSN 0323-1267.
- Ondřej, P. 2005: Mathioli (1562) Herbář neboli bylinář sv. I, II, III. Levné Knihy KMa. Praha. ISBN 80-7309-095-3, 80-7309-097-X, 80-7309-098-8.
- Opravil, E. 1991: Z historie lnu a konopě. *Živa* 5: 205-206. ISSN nezistené.
- Ožďani, O. 1979: Objav pravekého obetiska v Topoľčanoch. *Krásy Slovenska* 3: 112-114. Bez ISBN.
- Ožďani, O. 1984: Záchraný výskum v Malej nad Hronom. AVANS 1983: 171, 172. Bez ISBN.
- Ožďani, O. 1985: Výsledky záchraného výskumu v Štúrove v Obidskej puste. AVANS 1984: 182-184. Bez ISBN.
- Ožďani, O. 1986: Druhá výskumná sezóna v Štúrove – Obide. AVANS 1985: 177-179. Bez ISBN.
- Ožďani, O. 1988: Bronzová kopija z Hornej Stredy. AVANS 1987: 104. Bez ISBN.
- Parma, D. 2008: Podolí – Omniplast. Nálezová zpráva č. 82/08. Uložené v archíve UAPP Brno. Nepublikované.
- Paulík, J. 1962: Das Velatice-Baierdorfer Hügelgrab in Očkov. *Slov. Archeol.* 10: 5-96. Bez ISSN.
- Paulík, J. 1963: K problematike čakanskej kultúry v Karpatskej kotline. *Slov. Archeol.* 11: 269-338. Bez ISBN.
- Paulík, J. 1969: Mohyla z mladšej doby bronzovej v Lužanoch. *Zborník SNM* 65, *História* 9: 3-51. Bez ISBN.
- Paulík, J. 1978: Výskum mohyly z mladšej doby bronzovej vo Veľkých Ripňanoch. AVANS 1977: 189-190. Bez ISBN.
- Paulík, J. 1990: Ďalší rok výskumu v Marianke. AVANS 1988: 134-135. Bez ISBN.
- Paulík, J. 1993: Výsledky doplnkového výskumu v mohyle v Očkove. AVANS 1992: 105-106. ISBN 80-88709-09-1.
- Pavúk, J. 1977: Sídliisko z doby bronzovej a doby rímskej v Trnave-Modranke. AVANS 1976: 224-225. Bez ISBN.
- Pavúk, J. 1981: Sídliisko lengvelskej kultúry v Budmericiach, AVANS 1980: 220-222. Bez ISBN.
- Pavúk, J., Veliačik, L., Romsauer, P. 1995: Veränderung von Siedlungsarealen als mögliche Folge klimatischer Schwankungen in der Westslowakei. In: *Die Möglichkeiten und Perspektiven der West-Ost Zusammenarbeit auf dem Gebiet der Wissenschaft mit dem Schwerpunkt auf der Umwelt und Gesundheit. Das Internationale Humboldtianer-Kolloquium anlässlich des 225. Jährigen Geburtstages von Alexander Humboldt in Bratislava vom 21. Bis 25. September 1994.* Bratislava. 116-135. ISBN nezistené.
- Pearce, D. 2008: Herodotus (c. 484 BC – c. 432 BC). Utopian Surgery: Early arguments against anaesthesia in surgery, dentistry and childbirth. <http://www.general-anaesthesia.com/index.html>. Accessed online 5. 3. 2011.
- Pearsall, D. M. 2000: *Paleoethnobotany – A handbook of Procedures.* Academic Press, London. ISBN 0-12-548042-3.
- Peel, M. C., Finlayson, B. L., McMahon, T. A. 2007: Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 11: 1633-1644. ISSN 1027-5606.
- Peña-Chocarro, L. 1996: In situ conservation of hulled wheat species: the case of Spain. In: S. Padulosi, K. Hammeer, J. Heller (eds.): *Hulled wheats: proceedings of the First International Workshop on Hulled Wheat. Promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crops.* IPGRI, Rome. 128-146. ISBN 9789290432883.
- Peña-Chocarro, L. 2007: Early agriculture in central and southern Spain. In: S. Colledge, J. Conolly (eds.): *The Origins and Spread of Domestic Plants in Southwest Asia and Europe.* 1 ed. Walnut Creek, CA, Left Coast Press. 173-187. ISBN 978-1-59874-988-5.
- Petr, L., Hajnalová, M. v tlači: História vegetácie: Palynologická analýza prirodzených sedimentov z neskorého glaciálu až stredného holocénu na lokalite Šúr. In: J. Šedivý, T. Štefanovičová (eds.): *Dejiny Bratislavy.*
- Pišút, P., Uherčíková, E., Břízová, E., Hamerlík, L., Čejka, T. 2007: Príspevok k poznaniu genézy a súčasnej diverzity mäkkých lužných lesov na Žitnom ostrove. In: E. Křížová, K. Ujházy (eds.): *Dynamika, stabilita a diverzita lesných ekosystémov.* TU vo Zvolene, Zvolen. 217-225. ISBN 978-80-228-1821-6.
- Plachá, V., Paulík, J. 2001: Najnovšie výsledky výskumu na Devíne. AVANS 2000: 161-162. ISBN 80-88709-50-4.
- Pokorný, P. 2004: Postglacial vegetation distribution in the Czech Republic and its relationship to settlement zones: Review. In: Gojda M. (ed.): *Ancient Landscape, Settlement Dynamics and Non-Destructive Archaeology.* Academia. Praha. 395-414. ISBN 80 200 1215 X.
- Pokorný, P. 2005: Role of man in the development of Holocene vegetation in Central Bohemia. *Preslia* 77: 113-128. ISSN 0032-7786.
- Pokorný, P., Dreslerová, D. 2004: Vývoj osídlení a struktury pravěké krajiny na středním Labi. Pokus o přímé srovnání archeologické a pyloanalytické evidence. *Archeol. Rozhl.* 56: 739-762. ISSN 0323-1267.
- Pokorný, P., Klimešová, J., Klimeš, L. 2000: Late Holocene history and vegetation dynamics of a floodplain alder carr – a case study from eastern Bohemia, the Czech Republic. *Folia Geobotanica et Phytotaxonomica* 35(1): 43-58. ISSN 0015-5551.
- Pollex, A. 1999: Comments on the interpretation of the so-called cattle burials of Neolithic central Europe. *Antiquity* 73: 542-50. ISSN 0278-6656.
- Renfrew, A. C., Cherry, J. 1986: *Peer polity interaction and socio-political change.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Bez ISBN.
- Renfrew, J. M. 1973: *Palaeoethnobotany. The prehistoric food plants of the Near East and Europe.* Methuen, London. 248 s. Bez ISBN.
- Riehl, S., Bryson, R. A., Pustovoytov, K. E. 2008: Changing growing conditions for crops during the Near Eastern Bronze Age (3000-1200 BC): The stable carbon isotope evidence. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 35: 1011-1022. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2007.07.003>.
- Romsauer, P. 1977: Výsledky záchraného výskumu v Hornej Seči. AVANS 1976: 241-242. Bez ISBN.
- Romsauer, P., Veliačik, L. 1987: Entwicklung und Beziehung der Besiedlung der Lausitzer und mitteldonauländischen Urnenfelder in der Westslowakei. In: *Die Urnenfelderkulturen Mitteleuropas (Symposium Liblice 21-25. 10. 1985).* Praha. 295-304. Bez ISBN.
- Romsauer, P., Veliačik, L. 1998: Der Umweltanteil an der Siedlungsstrukturgestaltung während der Urnenfelder- und Hallstattzeit in der Westslowakei. *Przegląd Archeologiczny* 46, 1998, 59-72. Bez ISSN.

- Rudenko, S. I. 1970: Frozen Tombs of Siberia – the Pazyryk burials of Iron Age horsemen University of California Press. Berkeley, Los Angeles. 34-36, 62, 197, 199-200, 284-285, Plates 35, 61c, 62, 63. Bez ISBN.
- Ruttikay, A. 1991: Výskum v Nitre-Dražovciach pri kostole sv. Michala a v jeho okolí. AVANS 1989: 88-90. Bez ISBN.
- Rychner, V. 1995: Stand und Aufgaben dendrochronologischer Forschung zur Urnenfelderzeit. In: Anonymous (ed.): Beiträge zur Urnenfelderzeit nördlich und südlich der Alpen: Ergebnisse eines Kolloquiums, Monographien RGK 35: 455-487. ISBN nezistené.
- Rychner, V., Billamboz, A., Bocquet, A., Gassmann, P., Gebus, L., Klag, T., Marguet, A., Schöbel, G. 2005: Stand und Aufgaben dendrochronologischer Forschung zur Urnenfelderzeit. In: M. Erbach (ed.): Beiträge zur Urnenfelderzeit nördlich und südlich der Alpen. RGZM Monographien 35: 455-487. ISBN: 978-3-7749-2740-5.
- Říhový, J. 1982: Hospodářský a společenský život osady v Lovčičkách. Památky Archeologické 73: 5-56. ISSN 0031-0506.
- Sahlins, N. D. 1972: Stone Age Economics. Aldine. Chicago. Bez ISBN.
- Salaš, M. 2005: Bronzové depoty střední až pozdní doby bronzové na Moravě a ve Slezsku. I. II. Brno. 1034 s. ISBN 80-7028-212-6.
- Schermann, Sz. 1967: Magismeret I., II. Akadémiai kiadó, Budapest. Bez ISBN.
- Schultz, J. 1995: The ecozones of the world: the ecological divisions of the geosphere. Eugen Ulmer GmbH & Co. Stuttgart. 255 s. ISBN-10 3-540-20014-2.
- Sigaut, F. 1988: A method for identifying grain storage techniques and its application for European agricultural history. Tools and Tillage 6: 3-32. ISBN nezistené.
- Soják, M. 1999: Záchranný výskum zničenej osady z doby bronzovej v Batizovciach. AVANS 1997: 152-153. ISBN 80-88709-42-3.
- Sosna, D. 2009: Social Differentiation in the Late Copper Age and the Early Bronze Age in South Moravia (Czech Republic). Archaeopress. Oxford. 230 s. ISBN 978-1-4073-0528-8.
- Soudský, B. 1953: Únětická osada v Postoloprtech, Archeologické rozhledy 5, 308-318. ISSN 2156-2253.
- Staščíková-Štukovská, D. 2001a: Záchranný výskum na opevnenom sídlisku vo Veselom. AVANS 2000: 193-194. ISBN 80-88709-50-4.
- Staščíková-Štukovská, D. 2001b: Záchranný výskum v Nitre na Kmetkovej ulici. AVANS 2000: 193-194. ISBN 80-88709-50-4.
- Stevens, C. J. 2003: An investigation of agricultural consumption and production: models for prehistoric and Roman Britain. Environmental Archaeology 8: 61-76. ISBN 184217097X.
- Stloukal, M., Furmánek, V. 1982: Antropologický rozbor žárových hrobů pilišské a kyjatické kultúry. Radzovce, Šafárikovo. Nitra 1982. 111 s. Bez ISBN.
- Studeníková, E. 1982: Výskum v Uníne v roku 1981. AVANS 1981: 270-272. Bez ISBN.
- Studeníková, E. 1983: Tretia výskumná sezóna na Zámčisku v Uníne. AVANS 1982: 233. Bez ISBN.
- Studeníková, E., Paulík, J. 1983: Osada z doby Bronzovej v Pobedime. Archeologický ústav SNM. Fontes, Tomus VI. Bratislava. 292 s. Bez ISBN.
- Stuchlík, S. 1993: Únětická kultura. In: Pravěké dějiny Moravy. Brno. 238-257. ISBN nezistené.
- Šabatová, K. 2007: Sídlištní areál střední a mladší doby bronzové v Přáslavicích. Nepublikovaná PhD dizertačná práca. Ústav muzeologie a archeologie FF MU, Brno. http://www.is.muni.cz/th/9482/ff_d/Dis_text.pdf. Accessed online 12. 4. 2011.
- Šedo, O., Hajnalová, E. 2005: Využitie archeobotanických poznatkov a geobotanických máp pre predikciu osídlenia v praveku a rannohistorickom období na príklade štúdie z Kysúc. In: V. Hašek, R. Nekuda, M. Ruttikay (eds.): Ve službách archeologie VI. Brno. 255-265. ISBN 80-7275-060-7.
- Šmehýlová, K. 2009: Otepľovanie prináša problémy aj farmárom. Farmár 30/2009. <http://www.agroserver.sk/news/oteplovanie-prinasa-problemy-aj-farmarom.html>. Accessed online 1. 2. 2011.
- Šteffek, J. 1990: Podiel výroby a ostatnej činnosti človeka na Sitne v minulosti na zmene životného prostredia na základe malakofauny. Štud. Zvesti 26: 99-103. Bez ISBN.
- Štolcová, T. 2009: Vývoj výroby textilu a odevu v severnom Podunajsku od konca praveku po včasný stredovek. Nepublikovaná PhD dizertačná práca. Archeologický ústav SAV, Nitra. 369 s. Bez ISBN.
- Tasić, N. 1984: Kulturen der Frühbronzezeit das Karpatenbeckens und Nordbalkans. Beograd. 401 s. Bez ISBN.
- Teisserenc de Bort, L.-P. 1883. Etude sur l'hiver de 1879-1880 et recherche sur la position des grands centres d'action de l'atmosphère dans les hivers anormaux. Annales du BCM IV: 17-62. Bez ISBN.
- Tempír, Z. 1963: Nejstarší doklady o počátcích pěstování konopí v Evropě. Len a konopí 3: 73-80. Bez ISSN.
- Tempír, Z. 1966: Výsledky paleoetnobotanického studia pěstování zemědělských plodin na území ČSSR. Vědecké práce Československého zemědělského muzea 6: 27-144. Bez ISBN.
- Tempír, Z. 1969: Archeologické nálezy zemědělských rostlin a plevelů na Slovensku. Agrikultúra 8: 7-66. Bez ISBN.
- Teplý, J. 1949: Pravěké zemědělství v kraji košickém. Svojšina III(5): 255-256. Bez ISBN.
- ter Braak, C. F. 1993: Canoco 3. 1. Agricultural Mathematics Group. Wageningen. Bez ISBN.
- ter Braak, C. F. 1996: Unimodal models to relate species to environment. DLO-Agricultural Mathematics Group. Wageningen. 266 s. Bez ISBN.
- Thornthwaite, C. W. 1948: An approach toward a rational classification of climate. Geographical Review 38(1): 55-94. Bez ISBN.
- Točík, A. 1960: Správa o výskume v rokoch 1957-1959 na Zámčisku v Nitrianskom Hrádku, okr. Nové Zámky. In: Referáty o pracovných výsledkoch čs. archeológů za rok 1959. II. část. Liblice. 1-25. Bez ISBN.
- Točík, A. 1961: Stratigrafie auf der befestigten Ansiedlung in Malé Kosihy, Bez. Štúrovo. In: Kommission für das Äneolithikum und ältere Bronzezeit, Nitra 1958. Bratislava. 17-42. Bez ISBN.
- Točík, A. 1964: Opevnená osada z doby bronzovej vo Veselom. Bratislava. 216 s. Bez ISBN.
- Točík, A. 1978: Nitriansky Hrádok – Zámček II. Nitra 1978. Bez ISBN.
- Točík, A. 1979: Výčapy-Opatovce a ďalšie pohrebiská zo staršej doby bronzovej na juhozápadnom Slovensku. Nitra. 266 s. Bez ISBN.

- Točík, A. 1980: Záchranný výskum na lokalite Tomášovej v Komjaticiach. AVANS 1979: 229-232. Bez ISBN.
- Točík, A. 1981a: Nitriansky Hrádok – Zámeček I. Bronzezeitliche befestigte Ansiedlung der Madarovce-Kultur. Materialia Archaeologica Slovaca III. Nitra 1981. 200 s. Bez ISBN.
- Točík, A. 1981b: Malé Kosihy. Osada zo staršej doby bronzovej. Materialia Archaeologica Slovaca IV. Nitra. 283 s. Bez ISBN.
- Točík, A. 1986: Opevnené sídlisko zo staršej doby bronzovej vo Vrábľoch. Slovenská Archeológia 34: 463-476. Bez ISBN.
- Točík, A. 1987: Beitrag zur Frage der befestigten und Höhensiedlungen im mittleren und späten Äneolithikum in der Slowakei. In: Štud. Zvesti 23: 5-29. Bez ISBN.
- Točík, A. 1994: Poznámky k problematike opevneného sídliska otomanskej kultúry v Barci pri Košiciach. Štud. Zvesti 30: 59-65. ISBN 80-88709-11-3.
- Točík, A., Paulík, J. 1960: Výskum mohyly v Čake v r. 1950-51. Slov. Archeol. 8: 59-124. Bez ISBN.
- Točík, A., Vlček, E. 1991: Pohrebisko zo staršej doby bronzovej vo Švábovciach, okr. Poprad. Nové Obzory 32: 41-68. ISSN 0546-8051.
- Trigger, B. G. 1968: The determinants of settlement pattern. In K. C. Chang (ed.) Settlement Archaeology. National Press, Palo Alto. Bez ISBN.
- Trnka, G. 2008: Einführung in die Bronzezeit. <http://www.scribd.com/doc/36059074/EINFUHRUNG-IN-DIE-BRONZEZEIT-WS-2008-2009-Gerhard-Trnka>. Accessed online 18. 10. 2010.
- Turchin, P., Nefedov, S. A. 2009: Secular Cycles. Princeton Univeristy Press. Princeton, NJ. 362 s. ISBN13: 978-0-691-13696-7.
- Tusser, T. 1580: Five Hundred Pointes of Good Husbandrie. In: W. Payne, J. Heritage, J. 1965, English Dialect Society. Vaduz: Kraus Reprint. (citované podľa Fuller/Stevens 2009).
- Valamoti, S.-M., Kotsakis, K. 2007: Transitions to agriculture in the Aegean: the archaeobotanical evidence. In: S. Colledge, J. Conolly (eds.): The Origins and Spread of Domestic Plants in Southwest Asia and Europe. 1 ed. Walnut Creek, CA, Left Coast Press. 327-342. ISBN 978-1-59874-988-5.
- van der Veen, M. 1992: Crop husbandry regimes. An Archaeobotanical Study of Farming in northern England 1000 BC – AD 500. J. R. Collis Publications. Sheffield. ISBN 0 906090 41 5.
- van der Veen, M. 1995: The identification of maslin crops. In: H. Kroll, R. Pasternak (eds.): Res Archaeobotanicae. Kiel. 335-343. ISBN 10: 3980432211.
- van der Veen, M., Jones, G. 2006: A re-analysis of agricultural production and consumption: implications for understanding the British Iron Age. Vegetation History and Archaeobotany 15: 217-228. ISSN 0939-6314.
- van Geel, B., Bokovenko, N. A., Burova, N. D., Chugunov, K. V., Dergachev, V. A., Dirksen, V. G., Kulkova, M., Nagler, A., Parzinger, H., van der Plicht, J., Vasiliev, S. S., Zaitseva, G. I. 2004: Climate change and the expansion of the Scythian culture after 850 BC, a hypothesis. Journal of Archaeological Science 31: 1735-1742. ISSN 0305-4403.
- van Zeist, W. 1988: Botanical evidence of relations between the sand and clay districts of the north of the Netherlands in Medieval times. In: H. Küster (ed.): Der Prähistorische Mensch und Seine Umwelt. Forschungen und Berichte zur Vor- und Frühgeschichte in Baden-Württemberg, Band 31. Theiss. Stuttgart. 381-387. Bez ISBN.
- Vavilov, N. I. 1992. Origin and Geography of Cultivated Plants. 498 s. ISBN 0521404274. (angl. preklad, pôvodná práca vyšla v r. 1987).
- Veliačik, L. 1975: Pohrebisko lužickej kultúry v Diviakoch nad Nitricou. AVANS 1974: 110-112. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L. 1976: Výskum pohrebiska lužickej kultúry v Diviakoch nad Nitricou. AVANS 1975: 210-212. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L. 1980: Sídlisko lužickej kultúry v Liptovskej Teplej-Madočanoch. AVANS 1979: 243-246. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L. 1981: Archeologický výskum v Liptovskej Teplej. In: AVANS 1980: 322-325. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L. 1982: Výsledky druhej výskumnej sezóny v Liptovskej Teplej časť Madočany AVANS 1981: 298-302. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L. 1983: Die Lausitzer-Kultur in der Slowakei. Nitra. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L. 1983: Hradiská lužickej kultúry na Slovensku. Archeol. Rozhl. 35: 14-24. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L. 1986: Výsledky výskumov v Rumanovej. AVANS 1985: 234-236. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L. 1989: Vergleich der befestigten Siedlungen in der älteren und späten Bronzezeit in der Slowakei aus der Sicht ihrer Genese und Funktion. In: B. Gediga (ed.): Studia nad gorodami epoki brązu i wczesnej epoki żelaza w Europie środkowej. Wrocław. 59-72. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L. 1994: Význam hradísk v štruktúre sídlisk neskorej doby bronzovej. Pamiatky a múzeá 4: 13-17. ISSN 1335-4353.
- Veliačik, L. 1997: Prehľad najstaršieho osídlenia Bošáckej a Moravsko-lieskovskej doliny. In: Zemianske Podhradie v histórii. Zemianske Podhradie. 32-46. ISBN 80-967781-2-9.
- Veliačik, L. 2001: Osídlenie kultúr stredodunajských a lužických popolnicových polí na západnom Slovensku. Autoreferát dizertácie na získanie vedeckej hodnosti doktora historických vied. Nitra 2001, 62 s. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L. 2004: Nové poznatky k štruktúre hradísk lužickej kultúry na severnom Slovensku. Štud. Zvesti 36: 57-74. ISSN 0560-2793.
- Veliačik, L., Kujovský, R. 1991: Sídlisko lužickej kultúry v Partizánskom. AVANS 1989: 103-104. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L., Němejcová-Pavúková, V. 1987: Zwei Bronzeorte aus Ivanovce. Slovenská archeológia XXXV(1): 47-84. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L., Romsauer, P. 1992: Výsledky piatej výskumnej sezóny v Zemianskom Podhradí. AVANS 1991: 123-124. Bez ISBN.
- Veliačik, L., Romsauer, P. 1993: Šiesta záverečná výskumná sezóna v Zemianskom Podhradí. AVANS 1992: 130-131. ISBN80-88709-09-1.
- Veliačik, L., Romsauer, P. 1994: Vývoj a vzťah osídlenia lužických popolnicových polí na západnom Slovensku. Archaeologia Slovaca – Catalogi VI. AÚ SAV Nitra. 276 s. ISBN 80-88709-15-6.
- Veliačik, L., Romsauer, P. 1998 (2000): Výsledky výskumu hradiska lužickej kultúry v Zemianskom Podhradí (prebežná správa). Slovenská Archeológia XLVI: 225-251. ISSN 1335-0102.

- Vladár, J. 1973: Pohrebiská za staršej doby bronzovej v Branči. *Archaeologica Slovaca – Fontes*, Tomus XII. Bratislava. 267 s. Bez ISBN.
- Vladár, J. 1975: Spišský Štvrtok, opevnená osada otomanskej kultúry. In: III. Medzinárodný kongres slovanskej archeológie. Bratislava, September 1975. 3-20. Bez ISBN.
- Vladár, J. 1976: Komplexný výskum opevneného sídliska otomanskej kultúry v Spišskom Štvrtku. *AVANS* 1975: 215-223. Bez ISBN.
- Vladár, J. 1977: Zur Problematik der befestigten Siedlungen der ausgehenden älteren Bronzezeit in der Slowakei. In: *Festschrift zum 50 – jährigen Bestehen der Urgeschichtlichen Seminars Marburg*. Gludenbach 1977. 175-192. Bez ISBN.
- Vlček, E., Hájek, J. 1963: A Ritual Well and the Find of an Early Bronze Age Iron Dagger at Gánovce near Poprad. In: A Pedro Bosch – Gimpera en el septuagésimo aniversario de su nacimiento. Mexico. 427-439. Bez ISBN.
- Wacnik, A. 1995: The vegetational history of local flora and evidences of human activities recorded in the pollen diagram from site Regetovka, NE Slovakia. *Acta Palaeobotanica* 35(2): 253-274. ISSN 0001-6594.
- Wanner, H., Beer, J., Bütikofer, J., Crowley, T. J., Cubasch, U., Flückiger, J., Goose, H., Grosjean, M., Joos, F., Kaplan, J. O., Küttel, M., Müller, S. A., Prentice, C., Solomina, O., Stocker, P. T., Wagner, M., Widmann, M. 2008: Mid- to Late Holocene climate change: an overview. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 27: 1791–1828. ISSN 0277-3791.
- Wasylikowa, K., Carciumaru, M., Hajnalová E., Hartányi, B. P., Pashkevich, G., Yanushevich, Z. V. 1991: East-Central Europe. In: W. Van Zeist, K. Wasylikowa, K. E. Behre (eds.): *Progress in the Old World Palaeoethnobotany*. Balkema, Rotterdam, 207-239. ISBN 90 6191 881 2.
- Wheelock, J., Mariussen, Å. 1997: *Households, Work, and Economic Change: A Comparative Institutional Perspective*. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Boston. 229 s. ISBN-13: 9780792399308.
- Wiedermann, E. 1986: Závěrečná sezóna výskumu v Hajnej Novej Vsi. *AVANS* 1985: 225. Bez ISBN.
- Wiedermann, E. 1990: Výskum pravekých sídlisk v Hajnej Novej Vsi. *AVANS* 1988: 174. Bez ISBN.
- Wiedermann, E. 1991: Výskum polykultúrneho sídliska v Hajnej Novej Vsi. *AVANS* 1989: 109. Bez ISBN.
- Wilk, R. R. 1984: Households in process: agricultural change and domestic transformation among the Kekchi Maya of Belize. In: R. Mc Netting, R. R. Wilk, E. J. Arnold (eds.): *Households: Comparative and Historical Studies of the Domestic Group*. Berkeley California, University Press Ltd. 217-244. ISBN 0-520-04996-9.
- Wilk, R. R. 1997: *Household Ecology. Economic Change and Domestic Life among the Kekchi Maya in Belize*. Northern Illinois University Press. 480 s. ISBN: 978-0-87580-575-7.
- Willis, A., J. 1973: *Introduction to plant Ecology*. George Allan and Unwin. London. 237 s. ISBN 0045810095.
- Yu, Y. 1987: Agricultural history over seven thousand years in China: In: Sylvan Wittwer et. al. (eds.): *Feeding a Billion: Frontiers of Chinese Agriculture*. 19-33. ISBN 87013-246-6.
- Zapata-Peña, L. 2007: First farmers along the coast of the Bay of Biscay. In: S. Colledge, J. Conolly (eds.): *The Origins and Spread of Domestic Plants in Southwest Asia and Europe*. 1 ed. Left Coast Press. Walnut Creek, CA. 189-208. ISBN 978-1-59874-988-5.
- Zohary, D., Hopf, M. 2000: *Domestication of Plants in the Old World: The Origin and Spread of Cultivated Plants in West Asia, Europe, and the Nile Valley*. Oxford University Press, USA. 316 s. ISBN 0-19-850356-3.
- Zohary, D., Spiegel-Roy, P. 1975: Beginnings of fruit growing in the Old World. *Science* 187: 319-327. ISSN 0036-8075.
- Žák, Š., Lehocká, Z., Klimeková, M. 2010: Vplyv systémov hospodárenia na úrodu zrna, slamy a hmotnosť 1000 zrn hrachu siateho. <http://www.agroporadenstvo.sk/rv/strukoviny/hrach.pdf>. Accessed online 3. 2. 2011.
- Žebrák, P. 1985: Tretí výzkumní sezóna na Sitně. *AVANS* 1984: 259-260. Bez ISBN.
- Žebrák, P. 1988: Ukončení výskumu na Sitně. *AVANS* 1987: 142 Bez ISBN.
- Žebrák, P. 1990: Podíl činnosti člověka v minulosti na změně životního prostředí Sitna. *Současný stav výzkumu. Štud. Zvesti* 26: 87-96. Bez ISBN.
- <http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/climate/ghcn-monthly/index.php>
- <http://onlinehydro.sdsu.edu/onlinehorntwaite.php>
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/K%C3%B6ppen_climate_classification
- www.agroatlas.ru